

Joseph R. Bolger Mid Sch

District: Keansburg Boro Classification Focus

County: Monmouth Reason: Lowest Subgroup Performance: Special Ed, Black

Region: 3 CDS: 252400030

School Improvement Plan 2017-2018

School Improvement Plan Committee Members

| Stakeholder Representative | Name | Quality School Review / Needs | Data Review and Analysis | Plan Developme | Signature | Date |
|-------------------------------|--------------------|----------------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------|-----------|------|
| Teacher | Rachel Ryan | Yes | Yes | Yes | | |
| Teacher | Kristine Thorn | Yes | Yes | Yes | | |
| Teacher | Kim Lee | Yes | Yes | Yes | | |
| Data Coordinator | Shannon Collier | Yes | Yes | Yes | | |
| Principal | Joe LaRocca | Yes | Yes | Yes | | |
| Supervisor | Christine Formica | Yes | Yes | Yes | | |
| RAC 5 | Mr. Frank Zalocki | Yes | Yes | Yes | | |
| Executive Director RAC 5 | Dr. Mario Barbiere | Yes | Yes | Yes | | |





SIP Team Meetings

| Date | Topic | Agenda on File | Minutes on File |
|------------|--|----------------|-----------------|
| 03/08/2017 | Data Review and Analysis | Yes | Yes |
| 03/20/2017 | Quality School Review / Needs Assessment | Yes | Yes |
| 02/27/2017 | Plan Development | Yes | Yes |
| 05/25/2017 | Plan Development | Yes | Yes |





Quality School Review

| Turnaround Principle | Indicato | Rating | Overall Strengths Summary | Areas of Improvement Summary | | | | |
|-----------------------|----------|--------|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| | r | | | | | | | |
| 1 - School Leadership | 1 | 3 | 1.1 Conducted meeting with the school stakeholders to develop school mission with the | 1.10 Academically-focused family and community engagement is identified as an area of | | | | |
| | 2 | 4 | focus on student overall development. Community input was collected and analyzed to develop mission. 1.2 A formal data collection | improvement. With the increased use of Titan Family Academy, social media and other community communication avenues, we will | | | | |
| | 3 | 4 | system (LinkIt) is in place to progress monitor student growth. Data coordinator and instructional coaches disseminate data to staff to | continue to research best practices to provide a link between school and community. | | | | |
| | 4 | 3 | lead discussion during teacher meeting time to meet target SMART goals outlined in the SIP. 1.3, | | | | | |
| | 5 | 3 | 1.4, & amp; 1.8 Principal develops master schedule to provide teachers time 3 days a week to analyze and monitor student progress. 1.5 | | | | | |
| | 6 | 3 | School wide review/revision of curriculum was completed to ensure alignment to CCSS learning | | | | | |
| | 7 | 3 | standards. 1.6 Classroom observations are used to monitor the use of formative and summative assessment to drive instruction. Based on McRel | | | | | |
| | 8 | 3 | data, assessments are aligned to standards based curriculum and implemented by department 1.7 Walk throughs and observations are used to | | | | | |
| | 9 | 4 | ensure instructional practices are meeting the needs of students. Based on trends, more | | | | | |
| | 10 | 3 | teachers are using differentiated instruction. 1.9 Professional development is provided through district PDP academy to meet the different needs | | | | | |
| | | | of staff. Hiring Policy has been adopted to ensure highest quality of applicant is selected. | | | | | |
| Total | | 33 | | | | | | |





| Turnaround Principle | Indicato r | Rating | Overall Strengths Summary | Areas of Improvement Summary | | |
|-----------------------------------|---------------|--------|---|---|--|--|
| 2 - School Climate and Culture | 1 | 4 | 2.1, 2.2, & Department 2.3 Implementation of school PBSIS program to motivate students to exhibit positive behaviors. Students and staff feel safe | 2.2. The implementation and communication of clear behavioral expectations will continue. We will continue to provide social and emotional | | |
| | 2 | 3 | while at school as evident in in fall climate and culture survey. School building provides a | support for students as well develop student coping skills through additional counseling | | |
| | 3 | 3 | welcoming and inviting environment for members of the school community. Students are held to high expectations which are communicated to families. Student attendance has been a focus, which includes school wide attendance initiatives as well as including parent accountability program to students who exhibit high level of absenteeism. | services (CPC). Restorative practice will be implemented in grades 5-8 as an intervention to behavior as the trends indicate a rise in incidents involving peer conflict. Safer Saner Schools Program will provide professional development for staff to implement restorative practice. 2.3 We will continue to address and revise policies in our student/parent handbooks. | | |
| Total | | 10 | | | | |
| 3 - Effective Instruction | 1 | 3 | 3.1, 3.2, 3.3. 3.4 Walkthroughs and formal observations data and reports show that student learning objectives are posted, explained to | 3.4 Students need to be consistently held to high standards. Strategies need to be implemented to actively engage all students and meet student | | |
| | 2 | 3 | students, and are clearly aligned and measurable. Teachers are placed in teaching environments | learning needs. 3.3 Teachers will continue to work with students who do not master lesson objectives | | |
| | 3 | 3 | that meet their strengths. 3.5 LinkIt Data Management system supports teachers use of data. Teachers use data and multiple measures to | on first instruction through RTI instruction based on the three tiered RTI model. | | |
| | 4 | 3 | effectively differentiate instruction to meet the needs of all students with the implementation and | | | |
| | 5 | 3 | support of the ELA coach, Math Coach, Special Education Coach, Data Coach, and Linklt Data Management System school wide. Lesson | | | |
| | 6 | 2 | objectives are aligned to the curriculum, state standards, and assessments. | | | |
| Total | | 17 | | | | |





| Turnaround Principle | Indicato r | Rating | Overall Strengths Summary | Areas of Improvement Summary |
|--|---------------|--------|--|--|
| 4 - Curriculum, Assessment and Intervention System | 2 | 3 | 4.1 Curriculum has been revisited, revised, and reviewed in each department to identify gaps. Curriculum binders are prepared and on file, as | 4.2 Classroom walkthroughs should be implemented more consistently. According to data, curriculum mapping should be used to |
| | 2 | 3 | well as online for access for all teachers. 4.2 LinkIt Assessments are utilized to identify student | eliminate gaps in student learning. Additional professional development on Tier 1 and Tier 2 |
| | 3 | 3 | curricular gaps at classroom level, grade level, and department level. LinkIt Data is analyzed during allotted meeting time. 4.3, 4.4, 4.5 Data is | intervention is needed for ELA teachers. |
| | 4 | 3 | used to group and place students in multi-tiered intervention programs throughout the school year. | |
| | 5 | 4 | LinkIt Data and LLI Program Data shows intervention programs in ELA and math is implemented with fidelity. Data is used to identify and track student progress as students achieve growth. | |
| Total | | 16 | grown. | |
| 5 - Effective Staffing Practices | 1 | 3 | 5.1, 5.3, 5.4 Professional development plan is implemented to provide consistent and individualized PD to staff. District PDP plan shows | 5.2, 5.5 Results of needs assessment show the continued implementation of coaches to more effectively support the instructional needs of |
| | 2 | 3 | evidence of individualized learning opportunities, as well as collaborative and shared reflective opportunities for staff. School calendar is designed to provide time for staff to follow their PD plan. Walkthrough and Observation data | classroom teachers. 5.4 Continue use of hiring policy will be in place for upcoming school year to |
| | 3 | 4 | | ensure the process produces the most effective teachers. |
| | 4 | 3 | suggests that classrooms are staffed with full- time, certified and effective teachers. First year | |
| | 5 | 4 | teachers and all teachers with specific development needs are provided with a mentoring program. Staff assignments are determined | |
| | | | based on staff strengths and student needs. Hiring Policy has been put in place and implemented for all new hires. | |
| Total | | 17 | implemented for all new filled. | |





| Turnaround Principle | Indicato r | Rating | Overall Strengths Summary | Areas of Improvement Summary | | |
|---|---------------|--------|---|---|--|--|
| 6 - Enabling the Effective Use of Data | 1 | 3 | 6.1, 6.2, 6.3 The use of data coach to collect and analyze formative assessment. Multiple forms of data is used school wide to meet the needs of all | 6.1, 6.2, 6.3 Data management system has been recently implemented. LinkIt training will be ongoing and implementation will occur school school | | |
| | 2 | 3 | students. Data coordinator collects, prepares and disseminates data to staff on a weekly basis. | wide and with fidelity for the 17-18 school year. | | |
| | 3 | 3 | Climate and culture surveys are given to students, parents, and staff and are analyzed by the administrative team. Data review protocols are used during weekly PLC's and data is organized and uniform throughout departments. Assessment Calendar is followed and data analysis documentation, summaries and reports are presented to staff following SIP cycles. | | | |
| Total | | 9 | | | | |
| 7 - Effective Use of Time | 1 | 3 | 7.1, 7.2, 7.3 Master schedule is developed to maximize teacher collaboration and is distributed before the first day of school. 7.2 students who | 7.1, 7.2, 7.3 Disturbances of instructional time will continued to be monitored and addressed with | | |
| | 2 | 4 | are performing below grade level are placed in intervention programs and enrolled in classes that | restorative practices to further limit interruptions during class time. | | |
| | 3 | 4 | are appropriate. 7.3 Schedule is designed to allow 30 minutes of collaboration time each day. Staff will continue to have time to meet at department, grade level, PLC, and common planning time every week. | | | |
| Total | | 11 | overy week. | | | |
| 8 - Family and Community Engagement | 2 | 3 | 8.1, 8.2 School community is actively informed of school happenings through Titan Academy, social media, and other community communication services. Parent support network is provided to families in need of support. | 8.1, 8.2 Parent involvement is a concern, more consistent involvement of families is needed based on climate and culture survey results. | | |



Turnaround Principle Indicator Description

- 1.1 The principal uses data to establish a coherent vision that is understood and supported by the entire school community.
- 1.2 The principal develops and promotes a coherent strategy and plan for implementing the school vision, which includes clear measurable goals, aligned strategies and a plan for monitoring progress and driving continuous improvement.
- 1.3 The principal uses data to work collaboratively with staff to maintain a safe, orderly and equitable learning environment.
- 1.4 The principal communicates high expectations to staff, students and families, and supports students to achieve them.
- 1.5 The principal ensures that a rigorous and coherent standards-based curriculum and aligned assessment system are implemented with fidelity.
- 1.6 The principal ensures that classroom level instruction is adjusted based on formative and summative results from aligned assessments.
- 1.7 The principal uses informal and formal observation data and on-going student learning outcome data to monitor and improve school-wide instructional practices and ensure the achievement of learning goals for all students (including SWD and ELLs).
- 1.8 The principal ensures that the schedule is intentionally aligned with the school improvement plan in order to meet the agreed upon school level learning goals.
- 1.9 The principal effectively employs staffing practices (recruitment and selection, assignment, shared leadership, job-embedded professional development, observations with meaningful instructional feedback, evaluation, tenure review) in order to continuously improve instructional and meet student learning goals.
- 1.10 The principal uses data and research-based best practices to work with staff to increase academically-focused family and community engagement.
- 2.1 The school community supports a safe, orderly and equitable learning environment.
- 2.2 The school community maintains a culture that values learning and promotes the academic and personal growth of students and staff.
- 2.3 High expectations* are communicated to staff, students and families; students are supported to achieve them. *Expectations of professionalism, instruction, communication and other elements of the school's common teaching framework to staff; Expectations of attendance, academic performance, behavior, postsecondary attainment, etc. to families
- 3.1 Teachers ensure that student-learning objectives are specific, measurable, attainable, realistic and timely, and are aligned to the standards-based curriculum.
- 3.2 Teachers use multiple instructional strategies and multiple response strategies that actively engage and meet student learning needs
- 3.3 Teachers use frequent checks for understanding throughout each lesson to gauge student learning, and to inform, monitor and adjust instruction.
- 3.4 Teachers demonstrate necessary content knowledge.
- 3.5 Teachers demonstrate the necessary skills to use multiple measures of data, including the use of diagnostic, formative and summative assessment data, to differentiate instruction to improve student achievement.
- 3.6 Teachers hold high expectations for all students academically and behaviorally as evidenced in their practice
- 4.1 The district or school curriculum is aligned with the Common Core State Standards (CCSS).
- 4.2 Teachers and school leaders collect classroom level data to verify that the adopted and aligned CCSS curriculum is the taught curriculum.
- 4.3 The district provides formative assessments in literacy and math to enable teachers to effectively gauge student progress and inform instructional decisions at the classroom and team levels.
- 4.4 Instructional materials and resources are aligned to the standards-based curriculum documents.
- 4.5 An intervention plan designed to meet the learning needs of students who are two or more years behind in ELA and Mathematics is planned, monitored and evaluated for effectiveness based on defined student learning goals.
- 5.1 Hiring timelines and processes allow the school to competitively recruit effective teachers.
- 5.2 School leadership uses teacher evaluation to provide feedback for improving classroom practices, informing professional development and increasing learning outcomes
- 5.3 Teachers are provided professional development that enables them to continuously reflect, revise, and evaluate their classroom practices to improve learning outcomes in both a structured collaborative setting and individually.
- 5.4 Staff assignment is intentional to maximize the opportunities for all students to have access to the staff's instructional strengths.
- 5.5 Teachers are provided professional development that promotes independent, collaborative, and shared reflection opportunities for professional growth.
- 6.1 Multiple forms of data are presented in user-friendly formats and in a timely manner to drive all decisions for improving climate and culture.
- 6.2 Multiple forms of data are presented in user-friendly formats in a timely manner to drive all decisions for improving student achievement.
- 6.3 A specific schedule and process for the analysis of on-going formative assessment data tied to the CCSS aligned curriculum that includes the specific goals for improvement, defined strategies, progress monitoring and evaluation.
- 7.1 The master schedule is clearly designed and structured to meet the needs of all students.
- 7.2 The master schedule is clearly designed to meet the intervention needs of all students who are two or more years behind in ELA or Mathematics.

08/29/2017



Turnaround Principle Indicator Description

- 7.3 The master schedule is clearly structured and designed to meet the professional development needs of staff.
- 8.1 Families are engaged in academically related activities, school decision-making, and an open exchange of information regarding students progress in order to increase student learning for all students.
- 8.2 Community groups and families of students who are struggling academically and/or socially are active partners in the educational process and work together to reduce barriers and accelerate the academic and personal growth of students.

| Rati | ing Description |
|------|-----------------|
| 0 | None Selected |
| 1 | Underdeveloped |
| 2 | Developing |
| 3 | Proficient |
| 4 | Well Developed |





Data Analysis

| | | PRIOR YEAR INT | ERVENTIONS | |
|---|--------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---|---|
| Analysis of Key Interventions | Content Area or Target Population | Do you plan to continue with this | Measurable Outcomes | Document of Effective Outcomes |
| All students will be administered Curriculum Based Measurement universal assessments in ELA and Math in collecting baseline data. | ELA, Math, SPED, AA | Yes | Initial reading levels are based on universal assessments, Teacher's College (TC) reading assessment or Developmental Reading Assessment, 2nd Edition (DRA2). Initial math levels are based on Linklt universal benchmark assessments. These universal assessments will provide a baseline to compare systematic level growth in reading and math throughout the year. Students will be identified at different tiers: tier 1 will be one level below, tier 2 will be 2 levels below and tier 3 will be three levels below. | This was the second year we have used Curriculum based measurements in collecting baseline data in both ELA and Math. This system was implemented with fidelity and met the needs of all students. The instructors were using these universal assessments as a primary tool for identification for RTI. ELA: Currently, overall the percentage of tiers is as follows: 8th Grade: 46% tier 1, 14% tier 2, and 39% at tier 3. 7th Grade: 28% tier 1, 37% tier 2, and 38% at tier 3. 6th Grade: 27% tier 1, 29% tier 2, and 42% at tier 3. 5th Grade: 46% tier 1, 31% tier 2, and 23% at tier 3. Math: 8th Grade: 37% tier 1, 23% tier 2, and 21% at tier 3. 7th Grade: 25% tier 1, 34% tier 2, and 29% at tier 3. 6th Grade: 31% tier 1, 30% tier 2, and 31% at tier 3. 5th Grade: 22% tier 1, 27% tier 2, and 9% at tier 3. |



| Analysis of Key Interventions | Content Area or Target Population | Do you plan to continue with this | Measurable Outcomes | Document of Effective Outcomes |
|--|--------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---|---|
| All students will be administered a Curriculum Based Measurement (CBM) universal assessment in ELA. This will be either Teachers College (TC) or Developmental Reading Assessment, 2nd Edition (DRA2) in Grades 5-8 in collecting baseline reading comprehension data. Students reading more than one level below are tiered and placed into intervention programs for supplemental instruction, LLI Reading Program, Wilson Reading Program. Assessment Data will be analyzed to determine instructional strategies and tiered interventions based on students skills, strengths, and needs. ELA and RTI teachers will develop evidenced based interventions, strategies for scaffolding, with a focus on students with disabilities and students performing below grade level. | ELA, SPED, AA | Yes | Initial CBM universal assessments will provide baseline reading data, including reading levels. This baseline data, along with progress monitoring, will provide a baseline to compare systematic reading level growth throughout the year. Teacher created benchmarks, student work samples, writing samples, reading response notebooks, progress monitoring, running records, agenda/minutes from department meetings with ELA coach, PLC agendas, walkthroughs, data collection, data analysis, articulation and curriculum review will be used to monitor student growth in reading comprehension. | We have used this program with fidelity for only one year. This program meets the needs of all students and the instructors are using this program as a supplemental tool to the ELA curriculum. There are significant increases in the data as students are moving closer to reading on grade level. Currently through CBM and progress monitoring the following percentage of students increased their reading proficiency: 5th grade all students- 65% increased reading levels, 47% AA increased reading levels, 82% of SPED increased reading levels, 17% AA increased reading levels, 55% AA increased reading levels, 55% AA increased reading levels, 46% of SPED increased reading levels, 8th grade all students-68% increased reading levels, AA 79% increased reading levels, 31% of SPED increased reading levels, 31% of SPED increased reading levels, 31% of SPED increased reading levels. |



| Analysis of Key | Content Area or | Do you plan to | Measurable Outcomes | Document of Effective |
|-----------------|-------------------|--------------------|---------------------|-----------------------|
| Interventions | Target Population | continue with this | | Outcomes |



| Analysis of Key Interventions | Content Area or Target Population | Do you plan to continue with this | Measurable Outcomes | Document of Effective Outcomes |
|---|--------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|--|--|
| All students will be administered a Curriculum Based Measurement (CBM) universal assessment in Math. This will be a Linklt benchmark specific to grade levels and standards in Grades 5-8 in collecting baseline math proficiency data. Students performing more than one level below are tiered and placed into intervention programs for supplemental instruction, resources from GoMath Program, in addition to IXL. Assessment Data will be analyzed to determine instructional strategies and tiered interventions based on students skills, strengths, and needs. Math and RTI teachers will develop evidenced based interventions, strategies for scaffolding, with a focus on students with disabilities and students performing below grade level. | Math, SPED, AA | Yes | Initial CBM universal assessments will provide baseline math proficiency data. This baseline data, along with progress monitoring, will provide a baseline to compare systematic math proficiency growth throughout the year. Teacher created benchmarks and LinkIt benchmark assessments, student work samples, progress monitoring, module assessments from GoMath, agenda/minutes from department meetings with math coach, PLC agendas, walkthroughs, data collection, data analysis, articulation and curriculum review will be used to monitor student growth in math. | This is the first year we have used the Go Math program with fidelity. We are looking to keep this program as it creates a universal math program in grades 5th through 8th. This program does meet the needs of all students and the instructors are seeing improvements with students increasing their math proficiency. The instructors are using this program as their primary use for instruction along with Linklt benchmarks and skills assessments. 8th Grade: 20% increased proficiency from Q1 to Q3, 34% Q2 to Q3, AA 31% increased Q1 to Q3, SPED 23% increased Q2 to Q3, SPED 23% increased Q1 to Q3, 54% increased Q2 to Q3. 7th Grade: 13% increased Q1 to Q3, 45% increased Q1 to Q3, 33% increased Q1 to Q3, 38% increased Q1 to Q3, 39% increased Q1 to Q3, 29% increased Q2 to Q3. 6th Grade: 71% increased proficiency from Q1 to Q3, 21% increased Q2 to Q3, SPED 76% increased Q1 to Q3, SPED 76 |



| Analysis of Key Interventions | Content Area or Target Population | Do you plan to continue with this | Measurable Outcomes | Document of Effective Outcomes |
|-------------------------------|--------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------|---|
| | | | | 14% increased Q2 to Q3. 5th Grade: 78% increased proficiency from Q1 to Q3, 5% increased from Q2 to Q3, AA 79% increased Q1 to Q3, 0% increased Q2 to Q3, SPED 85% increased Q1 to Q3, 0% increased Q2 to Q3. Overall, 49% of students increased their proficiency from Q1 to Q3, 23% increased from Q2 to Q3, AA 57% increased from Q1 to Q3, 21% increased from Q2 to Q3, and SPED 72% increased Q1 to Q3, 22% increased from Q2 to Q3. |



| | STUDENT ACHIEVEMENT | | | | | | | | | | |
|----------------|---------------------|--|--|--------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Data Source | Factors to Consider | Your Data (Pre-populated where possible) | Your Data (Provide any additional data | Observations / Trends | | | | | | | |





| Data Source | Factors to Consider | Your Data (Pre-populat possible) | ed where | Your Data (Provide any additional data | Observations / Trends |
|-------------------|--|----------------------------------|----------|--|---|
| PARCC Baseline | The PARCC data for this year is baseline data and not | School-wide ELA | 20.0% | PARCC Proficiency Scores for SPED and AA: | After reviewing the 2015-2016 PARCC |
| Performance | comparable to previous years' State Assessment. Link to website with access to | School-wide Math | 10.0% | School-wide SPED: S (data doesn't meet suppression | Evidence Statements in determining areas in need of improvement, |
| | reports | School-wide Algebra 1 | 18.0% | rules) School-wide AA: S (data | the following ELA anchor standards were |
| | | School-wide Algebra 2 | | doesn't meet suppression rules) | worked on after analyzing PARCC data, benchmarks, and SIP |
| | | School-wide Geometry | | | goals. The trends indicated weaknesses in the following areas: |
| | | | | | NJSLSA.R1. Read closely to |
| | | | | | determine what the text says explicitly and to make logical inferences |
| | | | | | and relevant connections from it |
| | | | | | NJSLSA.R2. Determine central ideas or themes of a text and analyze their development; |
| | | | | | summarize the key supporting details and ideas. NJSLSA.W5. |
| | | | | | Developing and strengthening writing as needed by planning, revising, editing, |



| Data Source | Factors to Consider | Your Data (Pre-populated where possible) | Your Data (Provide any additional data | Observations / Trends |
|----------------|---------------------|--|--|--|
| | | | | rewriting, or trying a new approach, NJSLSA.W9. Drawing evidence from literary or informational texts to support analysis, reflection, and research. Students struggle with the transfer of skills from content areas and grade levels. Students struggle with the application of skills and strategies to other units, as they often isolate the skill to only the lesson that it is taught within. These areas require high-level thinking, stamina, and perseverance from students. Within grade level and department level meetings, these areas will be a focus of discussion and progress will be monitored. |
| | | | | After reviewing the 2015-2016 PARCC Evidence Statements in |



Page 17 of 83

| Data Source | Factors to Consider | Your Data (Pre-populated where possible) | Your Data (Provide any additional data | Observations / Trends |
|----------------|---------------------|--|--|--|
| | | | | need of improvement following Math domain were worked on after analyzing PARCC data and SIP goals. The trends indicated weaknesses in the following areas: Solving real world problems involving all four operations of rational numbers, Number Operations - Fractions and Expressions/Equations Based on the PARCC data, this is the standard where students were most deficient. This goes back to fraction and whole number operations in 5th and 6th grade. Students struggle with these domains because they lack retention and application of basic fack knowledge. Students also lack the basic skill needed to complete division and multiplication which |



| Data Source | Factors to Consider | Your Data (Pre-populated where possible) | Your Data (Provide any additional data | Observations / Trends |
|----------------|---------------------|--|--|--|
| | | | | needs to be addressed in prior and current grade levels. These areas impact other skills and domains such as problems that involve unit rate and students will have a difficult time advancing to Algebra 1 and being prepared for High School math. Within grade level and department level meetings, these areas will be a focus of discussion and progress will be monitored. |





| Data | Factors to Consider | Your Data (Pre-populat | od whore | Your Data (Provide any | Observations / | | |
|--------|---|---------------------------------|------------|--|---|--|--|
| Source | l actors to consider | possible) | ica wiicie | additional data | Trends | | |
| SGP | Student growth on state assessments. (Grades 4 - 8) *Identify overall school wide | Student Growth in Language Arts | 37.0 | SGP SPED: S (data doesn't meet suppression rules) | When comparing PARCC scores from 2015 to 2016 the following is | | |
| | growth performance by content. *Indentify interaction between student proficiency level | Student Growth in Math | 38.0 | SGP AA: S (data doesn't meet suppression rules) SGP ELA-37 | noted: PARCC ELA proficiency levels of meet or exceed have seen a 3% increase in | | |
| | | | | Did Not Meet (L1): Low-20% Typical-4% High-1% Partially Met (L2): Low-14% Typical-8% High-4% Approached (L3): Low-8% Typical-13% High-6% Met (L4): Low-5% Typical-8% High-7% Exceeded (L5): Low-0% Typical-0% High-1% SGP Math-38 Did Not Meet (L1): Low-20% Typical-4% High-0% Partially Met (L2): Low-16% Typical-14% High-9% Approached (L3): Low-9% Typical-6% High-12% Met (L4): Low-3% Typical-2% High-5% Exceeded (L5): Low-0% Typical-0% High-0% ELA 16% of students met/exceeded expectations on PARCC and showed typical/High SGP Math-7% of students | seen a 3% increase in Grade 5, a 8% decrease in Grade 6, a 0% increase in Grade 7 and an 11% increase in Grade 8. PARCC ELA proficiency levels of the lowest two levels have seen an 8% decrease in Grade 5, a 14% increase in Grade 6, a 5% decrease in Grade 7, and a 3% decrease in Grade 8. When comparing PARCC scores from 2015 to 2016 the following is noted: PARCC Math proficiency levels of meet or exceed have seen a 8% increase in Grade 5, a 2% decrease in Grade 6, a 4% decrease in Grade 7 and an 8% decrease in Grade 8. PARCC Math | | |



| Data Source | Factors to Consider | Your Data (Pre-populated where possible) | Your Data (Provide any additional data | Observations / Trends |
|--|---|--|--|---|
| | | | met/exceeded expectations on the PARCC and showed typical/High SGP | proficiency levels of the lowest two levels have seen a 4% decrease in Grade 5, a 10% increase in Grade 6, a 12% increase in Grade 7, and an 8% increase in Grade 8. Bolger did not meet SGP target (median) for State of New Jersey - according to School report card 2015-2016. The I&RS committee and the RTI intervention program are addressing needs of students in both ELA and Math who are performing below grade level based on a tiered system of supports. |
| Benchmark Assessment Participation | Please list any cycles where the 95% participation rate was not met. Please provide explanation. *Identify patterns by subgroup *Identify patterns by grade | | | |





| Data Source | Factors to Consider | Your D | ata (Pre le) | -popula | ted whe | re | | Your Data (Provide any additional data | Observations / Trends |
|----------------------------|--|-----------|-----------------|------------|---------|------------|------------|--|--------------------------|
| Benchmark Assessment | Please share results of analysis of % passing, including YTD | Grad e | Cycle 1 | Cycle 2 | Cycle 3 | Cycle 4 | Cycle 5 | | |
| (Proficiency) ELA Rates | analysis by grades and subgroups. * Identify patterns by | K | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | | |
| | grade/subgroups * Identify patterns by chronic | 1 | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | | |
| | absenteeism * Identify patterns by students | 2 | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | | |
| | with chronic disciplinary infractions | 3 | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | | |
| | | 4 | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | | |
| | | 5 | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | | |
| | | 6 | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | | |
| | | 7 | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | | |
| | | 8 | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | | |
| | | 9 | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | | |
| | | 10 | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | | |
| | | 11 | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | | |
| | | 12 | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | | |





| Data Source | Factors to Consider | Your D | ata (Pre le) | -popula | ed whe | re | | Your Data (Provide any additional data | Observations / Trends |
|-----------------------------|--|-----------|-----------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|--|--------------------------|
| Benchmark Assessment | Please share results of analysis of % passing, including YTD | Grad e | Cycle 1 | Cycle 2 | Cycle 3 | Cycle 4 | Cycle 5 | | |
| (Proficiency) Math Rates | analysis by grades and subgroups. * Identify patterns by | K | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | | |
| | grade/subgroups * Identify patterns by chronic | 1 | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | | |
| | absenteeism * Identify patterns by students | 2 | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | | |
| | with chronic disciplinary infractions | 3 | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | | |
| | | 4 | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | | |
| | | 5 | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | | |
| | | 6 | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | | |
| | | 7 | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | | |
| | | 8 | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | | |
| | | 9 | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | | |
| | | 10 | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | | |
| | | 11 | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | | |
| | | 12 | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | | |



| Data | Factors to Consider | Your Data (Pre-populated where | Your Data (Provide any | Observations / |
|--------|---------------------|--------------------------------|------------------------|----------------|
| Source | | possible) | additional data | Trends |



| Data Source | Factors to Consider | Your Data (Pre-populated where possible) | Your Data (Provide any additional data | Observations / Trends |
|-----------------|---|--|--|---|
| ACCESS for ELLs | Student performance of English Language Learners. (Grades K-12) *Identity school level AMAO *Identify patterns by grade level | | 11 non Spanish speaking, 30 Spanish speaking within the district ELL's. 37% (15 out of 41) of students met the exiting cut off score of 4.5,, however exiting the program is also based on multiple criteria. When comparing the data from 2015 to 2016, it is noted that 86% (18 out of 21) of students increased one level in growth on the WIDA ACCESS for ELLs 2.0 English Language Proficiency Test. The following students fall into the majority ELP levels based on a scale of 1-6: Kindergarten: Level 3 Grade 1: Level 3 / 8 students Grade 2: Level 5 / 7 students Grade 4: Level 4 / 1 student Grade 5: Level 2 / 2 students Grade 6: No students Grade 7: Level 3 / 2 students Grade 8: Level 3 / 2 students Grade 9: Level 2 / 2 students Grade 9: Level 2 / 2 students Grade 9: Level 2 / 2 | This is a small district, ELL's are placed in multiple grades and multiple levels in each school. Trends indicate that hispanics perform lower than others because they have more bilingual support than other languages. Trends also indicate high numbers in programs for grades K, 1, 2, and 3. The majority of students fall into Level 3 Developing. Students are monitored throughout the year using; ACCESS for ELL's, progress reports, teachers college/DRA, PARCC, formative/ summative assessments as well as quarterly assessments. |



| Data Source | Factors to Consider | Your Data (Pre-populated where possible) | Your Data (Provide any additional data | Observations / Trends |
|----------------|---------------------|--|---|--------------------------|
| | | | student Grade 11: Level 4 / 1 student | |
| | | | Grade 12: No students | |





| CLIMATE & CULTURE | | | | | | | | |
|--|--|---|---|--|---|--|--|--|
| Data Source | Factors to Consider | Your Data (Pre-populated where possible) | | Your Data (Provide any additional data | Observations / Trends | | | |
| Enrollment | Number of students enrolled in your building *Identify overall enrollment trends | Overall YTD Student Enrollment Average | 413 | Currently we have the following students enrolled: Grade 5: 108 students/28 SPED/18 AA | Student enrollment remains consistent from 2015-2016 and 2016-2107. | | | |
| *Identify enrollment by grade and subgroup | , , , | Subgroup 1 YTD Student Enrollment Average | 113 | Grade 6: 109 students/32 SPED/28 AA Grade 7: 91 students/27 | | | | |
| | Subgroup 2 YTD Student Enrollment Average | 81 | SPED/18 AA Grade 8: 96 students/23 SPED/16 AA | | | | | |



| | possible) | | additional data | Observations / Trends |
|--|---|--|---|---|
| The average daily attendance for students in your building *Identify patterns by grade *Identify patterns by teacher | or students in your building dentify patterns by grade Attendance Average 93.76% Sept. 95.08% SPED: 94.92% AA: 93.42% Oct. 94.00% SPED: 92.00% | | Sept. 95.08% SPED: 94.92% AA: 93.42% Oct. 94.02% SPED: 93.66% | Daily attendance remains consistent throughout the school year, averaging around 93%. No trends |
| *Identify interventions | Subgroup 1 YTD Student | 93.15% | Nov. 92.02% SPED: 92.30% | observed as this is a school wide and district |
| | Subgroup 2 YTD Student Attendance Average | 93.42% | Dec. 90.66%, SPED: 91.15% AA: 91.28% Jan. 93.09%, SPED: 91.64% AA: 92.92% Feb. 93.40% SPED: 92.00% AA: 93.97% Mar. 95.16% SPED: 94.03% AA: 94.91% Apr. 94.26% SPED: 93.37% AA: 93.75% | issue. Attendance rate percentages have started to rise, indicating less absences since December. Attendance initiative was started and implemented in grades 5-8. December had a significant decrease in attendance due to a Cheerleader/Football competition that was held in Florida that many students attended. Attendance has been improving since December as we have seen a rise in students |
| , | *Identify patterns by grade *Identify patterns by teacher | *Identify patterns by grade *Identify patterns by teacher *Identify interventions Subgroup 1 YTD Student Subgroup 2 YTD Student Attendance | *Identify patterns by grade *Identify patterns by teacher *Identify interventions Subgroup 1 YTD Student 93.15% Subgroup 2 YTD Student Attendance | *Identify patterns by grade *Identify patterns by teacher *Identify interventions Subgroup 1 YTD Student Subgroup 2 YTD Student Attendance Average 93.42% 93.42% Oct. 94.02% SPED: 93.66% AA: 94.34% Nov. 92.02% SPED: 92.30% AA: 91.43% Dec. 90.66%, SPED: 91.15% AA: 91.28% Jan. 93.09%, SPED: 91.64% AA: 92.92% Feb. 93.40% SPED: 92.00% AA: 93.97% Mar. 95.16% SPED: 94.03% AA: 94.91% APr. 94.26% SPED: 93.37% |





| Data Source | Factors to Consider | Your Data (Pre-populat possible) | ed where | Your Data (Provide any additional data | Observations / Trends |
|---|---|--|---|---|--|
| Chronic Absenteeism (Students) | Chronic absenteeism for students in your building *Identify patterns by grade | Overall YTD Chronic Absenteeism | 8.64% | Chronic Absenteeism: Sept. 21.11% SPED: 27.11% AA: 34.52% | Chronic absenteeism is trending in the right direction, however it |
| | *Identify patterns by teacher *Identify interventions | Subgroup 1 YTD Chronic | 12.73% | Oct. 13.64% SPED: 18.75% AA: 19.28% Nov. 13.46% SPED: 17.70% | continues to be a focus of the school's improvement |
| | | Subgroup 2 YTD Chronic Absenteeism | 13.75% | AA: 20.99% Dec. 18.84%, SPED: 23.01% AA: 24.10% Jan. 14.42%, SPED: 21.93% | plan. Attendance initiative has been implemented school wide and attendance numbers |
| | | | | AA: 20.99% Feb. 12.68% SPED: 18.42% AA: 16.05% Mar. 11.52% SPED: 16.81% AA: 13.75% Apr. 8.62% SPED: 13.51% AA: 13.75% | seem to be trending down. Students are identified as chronically absent at weekly meetings with guidance, attendance officer, and administration. Following a tiered model, parent meetings are set up and chronic absenteeism is monitored and tracked. |
| Attendance Rate (Staff) | The average daily attendance for staff | Staff Attendance YTD | 94.81% | Staff Attendance: Sept. 98.28% Oct. 93.71% | Staff attendance remains consistent from month to |
| *Identify patterns by grade *Identify chronic absenteeism *Identify reasons for absenteeism | | | Oct. 93.71% Nov. 95.44% Dec. 95.44%, Jan. 95.55%, Feb. 94.93% Mar. 95.86% Apr. 95.94% | consistent from month to month, averaging between 95% and 96%. No trends observed based on grade level or content area. Staff attendance is reported higher than last year. | |





| Data Source | Factors to Consider | Your Data (Pre-populat possible) | ted where | Your Data (Provide any additional data | Observations / Trends |
|---|--|---|---|---|---|
| Discipline The number of suspensions, expulsions, and incident reports *Identify types of incidences *Indentify patterns by subgroup *Identify chronic offenders | expulsions, and incident reports *Identify types of incidences | Student Suspension YTD Average - In School | 3.25% | Student Suspension- In School: Sept. 2.32% SPED: 6.25% AA: 2.38% Oct. 4.78% SPED: 8.04% AA: | The school continues to utilize Positive Behavior Support in Schools (PBSIS) program to drive positive student behavior |
| | Student Suspension YTD Average - In School for Subgroup 1 | 5.19% | 6.02% Nov. 2.40% SPED: 5.31% AA: 6.17% Dec. 3.14%, SPED: 3.54% AA: 6.02% Jan. 1.92%, SPED: 1.75% AA: | outcomes. The PBSIS team trained the staff and students about the school wide expectations and pro-social behavior. | |
| | Student Suspension YTD Average - In School for Subgroup 2 | 5.68% | Feb. 3.17% SPED: 2.63% AA: 4.94% Mar. 1.72% SPED: 0.88% AA: 5.00% Apr. 2.46% SPED: 3.60% be imple intervent the numl suspens | Restorative Practice will be implemented as an intervention to decrease the number of suspensions, expulsions, and incident reports as a | |
| | | Student Suspension YTD Average - Out of School | 2.31% | AA: 3.75% Student Suspension- Out of School: Sept. 1.39% SPED: 2.68% AA: 2.38% | focus for our school improvement plan. Peer conflict is the most common infraction. No |
| | Student Suspension YTD Average - Out of School for Subgroup 1 | 4.27% | Oct. 2.15% SPED: 4.46% AA: wide. 6.02% Nov. 1.68% SPED: 2.65 % AA: has d | trends observed, school wide. Disrespect to staff/students incidents has decreased from previous year. | |
| | | Student Suspension YTD Average - Out of School for Subgroup 2 | 3.97% | Jan. 2.88%, SPED: 3.51% AA: 6.17% Feb. 2.20% SPED: 3.51% AA: 6.17% Mar. 2.94% SPED: 3.54% AA: 2.50% Apr. 1.48% SPED: 4.50% AA: | |



| Data Source | Factors to Consider | Your Data (Pre-populated where possible) | Your Data (Provide any additional data | Observations / Trends |
|-------------|---------------------|--|--|-----------------------|
| | | | 0.00% | |





| Data Source | Factors to Consider | Your Data (P possible) | re-po | pulated | where | | Your Data (Provide any additional data | Observations / Trends |
|----------------------------|---|---------------------------|-------|----------|---------|-------|---|--|
| NJSCS Climate & Culture | Results from surveys *Identify staff satisfaction and | Domain | ES | MSH S | Parents | Staff | 28 total parents participated, 181 middle and high school students participated, and 42 | Overall Physical Environment- 56% |
| Surveys | support *Identify perception of the environment | Participation Rate | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | staff members participated in the NJSCS Climate and | students, 72% of parents, and 80% of staff agreed or strongly agreed/ |
| | *Identify perceptions' of students | Physical Environment | 0 | 56.1 | 71.7 | 79.9 | Culture Surveys in the Fall. | Teaching and Learning, 67% of students, 57% of |
| | *Identify perceptions' of family | Teaching and Learning | 0 | 66.5 | 57.2 | 69 | | parents, and 69% of staff agreed/strongly agreed. Morale in School |
| | | Morale in School Comm. | 0 | 58.2 | 59 | 68.9 | | Community- 58% of students, 59% of parents, |
| | | Student Relationships | 0 | 46.9 | 66.8 | 66.1 | | and 69% of staff agreed/strongly agreed. |
| | | Parental Support | 0 | 84.5 | 67 | 58.5 | | Student Relationships- 47% of students, 67% of parents, and 59% of staff |
| | | Safety | 0 | 64.7 | 59.2 | 83.8 | | agreed/strongly agreed. Parental Support- 85% of |
| | | Emotional Environment | 0 | 54.5 | | 68.8 | | students, 67% of parents, and 59% of staff agreed/strongly agreed. |
| | | Administrative Support | | | | 80.9 | | Safety- 65% of students, 59% of parents, and 84% |
| | | | | | | | | of staff agreed/strongly agreed. Emotional Environment 55% of students and 69% of staff agreed/strongly agreed. Administrative support, 81% of staff agreed/strongly agreed. There are not similar |



| Data Source | Factors to Consider | Your Data (Pre-populated where possible) | Your Data (Provide any additional data | Observations / Trends |
|-------------|---------------------|--|--|--|
| | | • | | concerns between teachers, students, and parents. |
| | | | | Based on survey results, teacher have expressed concern with student relationships and peer conflict. Teachers have expressed a need for restorative practice. Teachers feel that a lack of parent/community engagement is a continuing concern. |



| Data Source Factors to Consider | Your Data possible) | Your Data (Pre-populated where possible) | | | | |
|---------------------------------|---|---|----|----|---------|-------|
| PBSIS Climate & Culture | Results from surveys *Identify staff satisfaction and | Domain | ES | MS | Parents | Staff |
| Surveys | | Admin Leadership | | | | |
| | | Climate and Culture | | | | |
| | | Effective Instruction | | | | |
| | | Curriculum, Instruction and Intervention | | | | |
| | | Effective Staffing Practices | | | | |
| | | Professional Development | | | | |
| | | Parent and Community Engagement | | | | |





| COLLEGE & CAREER READY | | | | | | | |
|-----------------------------|---|--|--|-----------------------|--|--|--|
| Data Source | Factors to Consider | Your Data (Pre- populated where | Your Data (Provide any additional data | Observations / Trends | | | |
| Graduation Cohort (HS ONLY) | What interventions are in place for students at risk? Examples of what could cause a student to be at risk: * under credited * chronically absent * frequent suspension (* - Data suppressed) | 2015 Adjusted Cohort Grad Rate 2014 Adjusted Cohort Grad Rate 2013 Adjusted Cohort Grad Rate 2012 Adjusted Grad Cohort Rate 2012 Adjusted Grad Cohort Rate | | | | | |



| Data Source | Factors to Consider | | | | | Your Data (Provide any additional data | Observations / Trends |
|---|---------------------|--|---------------|--|--|--|-----------------------|
| College Readiness Test Participation | | 2014 - 2015 | % of Students | | | | |
| | | Participating in SAT | | | | | |
| | | Participating in ACT | | | | | |
| | | Participating in PSAT or PLAN | | | | | |
| | | # of Students scoring above 1550 | -3 | | | | |





| Data Source | Factors to Consider | Your Data (Pre- populated where | | Your Data (Provide any additional data | Observations / Trends | |
|-------------|---|---|--------------|---|---|--|
| | 2014 - 15 data provided. Please provide 2015 - 16 data if possible. | # of 8th grade students enrolled in Algebra 1 | -3 | Currently, 11 students enrolled in Algebra 1, 10 students with a C or better. | When comparing PARCC scores from 2015 to 2016 the following is noted: PARCC Algebra 1 proficiency levels of meet or exceed have seen a 4% increase. PARCC Algebra 1 proficiency levels of the lowest two levels have seen a 1% increase. Students have difficulty with the new conceptualization of higher order math problems. Students struggle with setting up a mathematical model, organizing data to look for patterns, describe and explain patterns, generalizing findings and using findings to make a predication. More rigorous coursework is taught at the end of the year so students are not fully prepared with the application of basic mathematics when transitioning to harder concepts in algebra. This | |
| | | % of students with a C or better | -3% 27 14.8% | | | |
| | | took the Algrbra section of PARCC | | | | |



| Data Source | Factors to Consider | Your Data (Pre- populated where | Your Data (Provide any additional data | Observations / Trends |
|-------------|---------------------|------------------------------------|--|--|
| | | | | review the department noted rigorous concepts being taught at the end of the year which leads to an upcoming curriculum rewrite over the summer. |



| EVALUATION INFORMATION | | | | |
|------------------------|---------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------------------|
| Data Source | Factors to Consider | Your Data | Your Data | Observations / Trends |





| Data Sauras | Easters to Canaidar | Vour Doto | | Vous Data | Observations / Trands |
|---------------------------|---|--------------------------|------|---|---|
| Data Source | ractors to Consider | Tour Data | | Your Data | Observations / Trends |
| Classroom Observations | Factors to Consider Teacher practice as measured on state-approved teacher practice instrument *Identify % of teachers on CAP in the 2014 - 2015 school year *Identify instructional trends *Identify professional development needs | % of teachers on CAP YTD | 0.0% | There are no teachers on a CAP in Bolger Middle School for 2016-2017 school year. | Based on formal observation walkthrough data the following areas are where instructors need improvement on: 4E-teachers use a variety of methods to assess what each student has learned and teachers help |
| | | | | | students develop critical thinking and problem solving skills. Teachers need more training on data warehouse systems implemented school wide, they also need more training on using different forms of assessments to identify students for RTI and I&RS. Teachers need professional development |
| | | | | | and implementation of a school wide restorative practice to help students develop critical thinking ad problem solving skills. 3C-Vertical alignment of grade of subject and the state standards and relates content to other disciplines. The addition of instructional specialists will over see the vertical |



| Data Source | Factors to Consider | Your Data | Your Data | Observations / Trends |
|-------------|---------------------|-----------|-----------|---|
| | | | | alignment across grade levels and ensure skills are enforced across content. |



| | OTHER INI | DICATORS | |
|-------------|---------------------|---|-----------------------|
| Data Source | Factors to Consider | Your Data (Provide any additional data necessary) | Observations / Trends |





| Data Source | Factors to Consider | Your Data (Provide any additional data necessary) | Observations / Trends |
|----------------|--|---|---|
| ELA Benchmarks | Cycle 1: 8th Grade Participation Rates: Total Passed: 68/87 SPED Passed: 3/13 AA Passed: 11/14. 7th Grade Participation Rates: Total Passed: 71/80 SPED Passed: 12/13 AA Passed: 11/12. 6th Grade Participation Rates: Total Passed: 51/99 SPED Passed: 6/21 AA Passed: 5/24. 5th Grade Participation Rates: Total Passed: 95/96 SPED Passed:11/11 AA Passed 16/17 Cycle 2: 8th Grade Participation Rates: Total Passed: 47/87 SPED Passed: 2/13 AA Passed: 7/14. 7th Grade Participation Rates: Total Passed: 58/76 SPED Passed: 9/13 AA Passed: 8/11. 6th Grade Participation Rates: Total Passed: 57/97 SPED Passed: 5/20 AA Passed: 6/23. 5th Grade Participation Rates: Total Passed: 93/95 SPED Passed:10/11 AA Passed 15/17 | Cycle 1: 8th Grade Benchmark % of Passing: Q1: Total Population - 78% Q1: Sub Group 1 - 23% Q1: Sub Group 2 - 79% 7th Grade Benchmark % of Passing: Q1: Total Population - 89% Q1: Sub Group 1 - 92% Q1: Sub Group 2 - 92% 6th Grade Benchmark % of Passing: Q1: Total Population - 51% Q1: Sub Group 1 - 29% Q1: Sub Group 1 - 29% Q1: Sub Group 2 - 21% 5th Grade Benchmark % of Passing: Q1: Total Population - 98% Q1: Sub Group 1 -100% Q1: Sub Group 2 -94% Cycle 2: 8th Grade Benchmark % of Passing: Q2: Total Population - 54% Q2: Sub Group 1 - 15% Q2: Sub Group 2 - 50% 7th Grade Benchmark % of Passing: Q2: Total Population - 76% Q2: Sub Group 1 - 69% Q2: Sub Group 2 - 73% | The following ELA anchor standards were worked on after |



| Data Source | Factors to Consider | Your Data (Provide any additional data necessary) | Observations / Trends |
|-------------|---------------------|---|-----------------------|
| | | 6th Grade Benchmark % of | |
| | | Passing: | |
| | | Q2: Total Population - 59% | |
| | | Q2: Sub Group 1 - 25% | |
| | | Q2: Sub Group 2 - 26% | |
| | | 5th Grade Benchmark % of | |
| | | Passing: | |
| | | Q2: Total Population - 98% | |
| | | Q2: Sub Group 1 - 91% | |
| | | Q2: Sub Group 2 - 88% | |





| Data Source | Factors to Consider | Your Data (Provide any additional data necessary) | Observations / Trends |
|-----------------|--|--|---|
| Math Benchmarks | Cycle 1: 8th Grade Participation Rates: Total Passed: 59/86 SPED Passed: 11/13 AA Passed: 11/13. 7th Grade Participation Rates: Total Passed: 69/78 SPED Passed: 10/14 AA Passed: 10/12. 6th Grade Participation Rates: Total Passed: 94/99 SPED Passed: 20/20 AA Passed:23/24. 5th Grade Participation Rates: Total Passed: 85/97 SPED Passed:11/14 AA Passed 15/19 Cycle 2: 8th Grade Participation Rates: Total Passed: 70/86 SPED Passed: 11/13 AA Passed: 11/13. 7th Grade Participation Rates: Total Passed: 54/78 SPED Passed: 8/14 AA Passed: 7/12. 6th Grade Participation Rates: Total Passed: 87/99 SPED Passed: 18/20 AA Passed: 23/24. 5th Grade Participation Rates: Total Passed: 82/97 SPED Passed:11/14 AA Passed 16/19 | Cycle 1: 8th Grade Benchmark % of Passing: Q1: Total Population - 69% Q1: Sub Group 1 - 85% Q1: Sub Group 2 - 85% 7th Grade Benchmark % of Passing: Q1: Total Population - 88% Q1: Sub Group 1 - 71% Q1: Sub Group 2 - 83% 6th Grade Benchmark % of Passing: Q1: Total Population - 95% Q1: Sub Group 1 - 100% Q1: Sub Group 2 - 96% 5th Grade Benchmark % of Passing: Q1: Total Population - 88% Q1: Sub Group 1 - 79% Q1: Sub Group 2 - 79% Cycle 2: 8th Grade Benchmark % of Passing: Q2: Total Population - 81% Q2: Sub Group 1 - 85% Q2: Sub Group 2 - 85 7th Grade Benchmark % of Passing: Q2: Total Population - 69% Q2: Sub Group 1 - 57% Q2: Sub Group 2 - 58% | The benchmarks do reflect or forecast PARCC proficiency |



| Data Source | Factors to Consider | Your Data (Provide any additional data necessary) | Observations / Trends |
|-------------|---------------------|---|-----------------------|
| | , | 6th Grade Benchmark % of | |
| | | Passing: | |
| | | Q2: Total Population - 88% | |
| | | Q2: Sub Group 1 - 90% | |
| | | Q2: Sub Group 2 - 96% | |
| | | 5th Grade Benchmark % of | |
| | | Passing: | |
| | | Q2: Total Population - 85% | |
| | | Q2: Sub Group 1 - 79% | |
| | | Q2: Sub Group 2 - 84% | |



Root Cause Analysis

| Area of Focus | Performance Challenge | Possible Root Causes | Target Populatio | Strategies to Address Challenge | Turnaroun d Principle |
|--|---|--|---------------------|--|--|
| ELA & Literacy (TP3, TP4) (Includes Social Studies & Science | Based on ELA PARCC data, current benchmark data, and formative assessments, all students, special education students and African American Students, experience difficulty with the following reading standards: Determine central ideas or themes of a text and analyze their development; summarize the key supporting details and ides. Read closely to determine what the text says explicitly and to make logical inferences and relevant connections from it; cite specific textual evidence when writing or speaking to support conclusions drawn from the text. The following writing standards special education students and African American students have difficulty with: Develop and strengthen writing as needed by planning, revising, editing, rewriting, or typing a new approach. Draw evidence from literary or informational texts to support analysis, reflection, and research. | Teachers do not consistently address students' needs as they make the shift from learning to read to reading to learn in the classroom. This transition is more pronounced as students leave the guided reading instruction in elementary school and transition into readers workshop instruction in the middle school. Teachers do not consistently emphasize central ideas or themes, comprehension, self monitoring and independent navigation of text more than explicit reading strategies. Teachers do not consistently plan instructional strategies to accompany close reading strategies to build students' capacity to determine what the text says explicitly and to make logical inferences and relevant connections from it, as well as cite specific textual evidence when writing or speaking to support conclusions drawn from the text. Teachers do not consistently plan instructional strategies to build students' capacity to develop and strengthen writing as needed by planning, revising, editing, rewriting, or trying a new approach. Teachers also do not emphasize drawing evidence from literary or informational texts to support analysis, reflection, and research when writing about texts. | SPED | 2 Use student performance data during common planning time meetings to inform teachers' selection of instructional and response strategies 3 Monitor teachers and provide differentiated coaching and professional development activities to support teachers in the use of a variety of instructional and response strategies to actively engage students in their learning. | 4 - Curriculum, Assessment and Intervention System 6 - Enabling the Effective Use of Data 3 - Effective Instruction |



| Area of Focus | Performance Challenge | Possible Root Causes | Target Populatio | Strategies to Address Challenge | Turnaroun d Principle |
|---|--|---|------------------|--|--|
| benchmark data, and formative assessments, all students, special education students and African American Students, experience difficulty with Number Operations, Fractions, Expressions, and equations. Students' needs in number operations, fractions, and expressions/equations as teachers move students from teaching procedurally to teaching for conceptual understanding, including real-world application, modeling, and reasoning. Teachers do not consistently address students' needs with conceptualization, logical thinking, and real world | benchmark data, and formative assessments, all students, special | students' needs in number operations, fractions, and expressions/equations as | SPED AA | Ensure that the adopted and aligned curriculum is the taught curriculum | 3 - Effective Instruction 7 - Effective Use of Time 5 - Effective Staffing Practices |
| | | 2 Use student performance data during common planning time meetings to inform teachers' selection of instructional and response strategies. | | | |
| | | application of mathematics. | | Monitor teachers and provide differentiated coaching and professional development activities to support teachers in the use of a variety of instructional and response strategies and students are actively engaged in their learning. | Staffing |



| Area of Focus | Performance Challenge | Possible Root Causes | Target Populatio | Strategies to Address Challenge | Turnaroun d Principle |
|-------------------------------|---|---|-------------------------|---|--|
| Climate & Culture (TP2) | Based on SIPMS Cycle report data obtained from the School Information System records, as submitted to NJSMARTS, some students struggle with consistent school attendance. | The School-based available data, as collected monthly, indicates variations on the percentage of students absent 10% of the school year, calculated as Year to Date. Parent, school administrators and school staff | Students School Wide | Develop partnerships with families, community and staff in support of consistent student attendance | 2 - School Climate and Culture |
| | | continued collaboration in addressing student attendance/school climate & culture is necessary to encourage consistent school attendance for all our students. | | Collect monthly data on attendance to develop a climate and culture administrative action plan to promote consistent student attendance | 8 - Family and Community Engagement |
| | | | | 3 Collect monthly data on attendance to provide supports to students and families to promote consistent student attendance | 1 - School Leadership |
| Select From List | | | | 1 | 0 |
| | | | 2 | 0 | |
| | | | | 3 | 0 |



SMART Goal 1

By June 30, 2018, 80% of students in Special Education subgroup and African American subgroup will close the 2015 2016 PARCC ELA baseline proficiency deficit by 2% as evidenced by performance on ELA benchmark assessments and the 2017 2018 PARCC ELA assessments.

Performance Based on ELA PARCC data, current benchmark data, and formative assessments, all students, special education students and African

American Students, experience difficulty with the following reading standards: Determine central ideas or themes of a text and analyze their development; summarize the key supporting details and ides. Read closely to determine what the text says explicitly and to make logical inferences and relevant connections from it; cite specific textual evidence when writing or speaking to support conclusions drawn from the text. The following writing standards special education students and African American students have difficulty with: Develop and

strengthen writing as needed by planning, revising, editing, rewriting, or typing a new approach. Draw evidence from literary or

informational texts to support analysis, reflection, and research.

Strategy 1: Ensure that the adopted and aligned curriculum is the taught curriculum

Turnaround Principle: 4 - Curriculum, Assessment and Intervention System

Strategy 2: Use student performance data during common planning time meetings to inform teachers' selection of instructional and response

strategies

Turnaround Principle: 6 - Enabling the Effective Use of Data

Strategy 3: Monitor teachers and provide differentiated coaching and professional development activities to support teachers in the use of

a variety of instructional and response strategies to actively engage students in their learning.

Turnaround Principle: 3 - Effective Instruction

Target Population: SPED

AA





Interim Goals

| End of Cycle | Interim Goal | Sources of Evidence |
|-----------------|--|---|
| EOC 1 | By the end of Cycle 1, 2016 2017 PARCC ELA assessment, English Language Arts portfolio, formative assessment, and summative assessment data will be analyzed to identify current levels of proficiency among students in Special Education subgroup and African American subgroup to determine the calibrated baseline proficiency for each group. | LinkIt Data Collection and Analysis from 2016- 2017, Skills and Standards based data from LinkIt Benchmark Form A, Teacher's College Assessment, Developmental Reading Assessment 2, Universal Screening, Progress Monitoring, Lesson Plans, Student Writing Samples, PLC agendas, ELA Department Meeting agendas, LinkIt Data Collection and Analysis, RTI and I&RS Data |
| EOC 2 | By the end of Cycle 2, 35% of students in Special Education and African American subgroup will close the ELA proficiency deficit by 2% evidenced by Common Core aligned ELA formative assessments, summative assessments, and reading and writing portfolios. | Skills and Standards based data from LinkIt Benchmark Form B, Teacher's College Assessment, Developmental Reading Assessment 2, Running Records, Universal Screening, Progress Monitoring, Lesson Plans, Student Writing Samples, PLC agendas, ELA Department Meeting agendas, LinkIt Data Collection and Analysis, Walkthrough and Observation Data, RTI and I&RS Data |
| EOC 3 | By the end of Cycle 3, 60% of students in Special Education subgroup and African American subgroup will close the ELA proficiency deficit by 2% evidenced by Common Core aligned ELA formative assessments, summative assessments, and reading and writing portfolios. | Skills and Standards based data from LinkIt Benchmark Form C, Teacher's College Assessment, Developmental Reading Assessment 2, Running Records, Universal Screening, Progress Monitoring, Lesson Plans, Student Writing Samples, PLC agendas, ELA Department Meeting agendas, LinkIt Data Collection and Analysis, Walkthrough and Observation Data, RTI and I&RS Data |





| End of Cycle | Interim Goal | Sources of Evidence |
|-----------------|---|---|
| EOC 4 | By June 30, 2018, 80% of students in Special Education subgroup and African American subgroup will close the 2015 2016 PARCC ELA baseline proficiency deficit by 2% as evidenced by performance on ELA benchmark assessments and the 2017 2018 PARCC ELA assessments. | Students of in Special Education subgroup and African American subgroup will close the ELA proficiency deficit by 2% evidenced by Common Core aligned ELA formative assessments, summative assessments, and reading and writing portfolios the 2017 2018 PARCC ELA assessments. |

Action Steps

| Step Numbe | Strate gy | Action Steps | Primary Turnaround | Start Date | End Date | Assigned To |
|---------------|--------------|---|---|---------------|-------------|--|
| 1 | 2 | Using Link-it data to assess students baseline reading levels, spread sheets will be created to progress monitor students reading proficiency throughout the year. | 6 - Enabling the Effective Use of Data | 9/7/17 | 10/31/17 | Data Coordinator, C&I supervisor, and instructional specialist |
| 2 | 3 | Identify students reading below grade level and make recommendation to I & Samp; RS and RTI program. Administer LinkIt Benchmark Form A; teachers will collect and analyze data through LinkIt and Data Binders to house all student data for the year. | 4 - Curriculum, Assessment and Intervention System | 9/7/17 | 10/31/17 | Data Coordinator, C&I Supervisor, and Instructional Specialist |



| Step Numbe | Strate gy | Action Steps | Primary Turnaround | Start Date | End Date | Assigned To |
|---------------|--------------|--|---|---------------|-------------|--|
| 3 | 3 | Intervention programs will be established for students reading below grade level through RTI and I&RS, differentiated instruction will be part of the lesson planning. Programs will be reviewed for alignment to ESSA, the CCSS, and individual and group students needs. | 4 - Curriculum, Assessment and Intervention System | 9/7/17 | 10/31/17 | I&RS Committee, Data Coordinator, C&I Supervisor, and Instructional Specialist |
| 4 | 1 | Analyze data from walkthroughs and provide differentiated feedback and supports | 6 - Enabling the Effective Use of Data | 9/7/17 | 10/31/17 | Data Coordinator, C&I Supervisor, and Instructional Specialist |
| 5 | 1 | Analysis of ELA assessment using LinkIt and classroom level data to provide student supports. | 6 - Enabling the Effective Use of Data | 9/7/17 | 10/31/17 | Data coordinator and Instructional Specialist |
| 6 | 1 | Formal classroom observations will be conducted and analyze data using the McRel evaluation system. | 1 - School Leadership | 9/7/17 | 10/31/17 | Building Admin and C & Dry I supervisor |
| 7 | 2 | Progress monitoring of students reading proficiency using Link-it progress monitoring and Teachers College running records | 4 - Curriculum, Assessment and Intervention System | 9/7/17 | 10/31/17 | Data coordinator and Instructional Specialist |





| Step Numbe | Strate gy | Action Steps | Primary Turnaround | Start Date | End Date | Assigned To |
|---------------|--------------|---|--|---------------|-------------|---|
| 8 | 1 | Continue to identify students reading below grade level and make recommendation to I&RS and RTI program. Administer LinkIt Benchmark Form B; teachers will collect and analyze data through LinkIt and Data Binders to house all student data for the year. | 6 - Enabling the Effective Use of Data | 11/7/17 | 1/31/18 | I&RS Committee, Data Coordinator, C&I Supervisor, and Instructional Specialist |
| 10 | 3 | Intervention program will be monitored to determine programs effectiveness. Intervention program will be monitored to determine programs effectiveness. Analyze and reorganize RTI Tiers based on student progress, classroom level assessments, progress monitoring, and Linklt Benchmark B. | 6 - Enabling the Effective Use of Data | 11/7/17 | 1/31/18 | Data Coordinator, C&I Supervisor, and Instructional Specialists, RTI Specialists |
| 11 | 1 | Analysis of ELA assessment using LinkIt and classroom level data to provide specific supports and interventions | 6 - Enabling the Effective Use of Data | 11/7/17 | 1/31/18 | Data Coordinator, C&I Supervisor, and Instructional Specialist |
| 13 | 1 | Data driven, differentiated supports will be provided to the students based on evidence based practices. | 3 - Effective Instruction | 11/7/17 | 1/31/18 | School Admin, C&I Supervisor, Data Coordinator, Instructional Specialists |





| Step Numbe | Strate gy | Action Steps | Primary Turnaround | Start Date | End Date | Assigned To |
|---------------|--------------|---|---|---------------|-------------|--|
| 14 | 2 | Progress monitoring of students reading proficiency using Link-it progress monitoring and Teachers College running records | 4 - Curriculum, Assessment and Intervention System | 11/7/17 | 1/31/18 | Data coordinator and Instructional Specialist |
| 15 | 1 | Monitor classroom instruction for effective reading and writing strategies aligned to the CCSS. | 3 - Effective Instruction | 11/7/17 | 1/31/18 | School Administration |
| 16 | 1 | Analyze data from walkthroughs and provide differentiated feedback and supports | 6 - Enabling the Effective Use of Data | 11/7/17 | 1/31/18 | Data coordinator and Instructional Specialist |
| 17 | 2 | PLC, Department, and Grade Level meetings and agendas, monitoring of teachers, and analysis of data action steps. | 6 - Enabling the Effective Use of Data | 11/7/17 | 1/31/18 | School Administration |
| 18 | 1 | Formal classroom observations will be conducted and analyze data using the McRel evaluation system. | 1 - School Leadership | 11/7/17 | 1/31/18 | Building Admin and C & I supervisor |
| 19 | 1 | Continue to identify students reading below grade level and make recommendation to I&RS and RTI program. Administer LinkIt Benchmark Form B; teachers will collect and analyze data through LinkIt and Data Binders to house all student data for the year. | 6 - Enabling the Effective Use of Data | 2/6/18 | 3/30/18 | I&RS Committee, Data Coordinator, C&I Supervisor, and Instructional Specialist |





| Step Numbe | Strate gy | Action Steps | Primary Turnaround | Start Date | End Date | Assigned To |
|---------------|--------------|---|---|---------------|-------------|---|
| 20 | 3 | Analyze and reorganize RTI Tiers based on student progress, classroom level assessments, progress monitoring, and LinkIt Benchmark B. | 4 - Curriculum, Assessment and Intervention System | 2/6/18 | 3/30/18 | Data Coordinator, C&I Supervisor, and Instructional Specialists, RTI Specialists |
| 21 | 1 | Analysis of ELA assessment using LinkIt and classroom level data to provide specific supports and interventions | 6 - Enabling the Effective Use of Data | 2/6/18 | 3/30/18 | Data Coordinator, C&I Supervisor, and Instructional Specialist |
| 22 | 1 | Data driven, differentiated supports will be provided to the students based on evidence based practices. | 3 - Effective Instruction | 2/6/18 | 3/30/18 | School Admin, C&I Supervisor, Data Coordinator, Instructional Specialists |
| 23 | 2 | Progress monitoring of students reading proficiency using Link-it progress monitoring and Teachers College running records | 4 - Curriculum, Assessment and Intervention System | 2/6/18 | 3/30/18 | Data coordinator and Instructional Specialists |
| 24 | 1 | Monitor classroom instruction for effective reading and writing strategies aligned to the CCSS. | 3 - Effective Instruction | 2/6/18 | 3/30/18 | School Administration |



| Step Numbe | Strate gy | Action Steps | Primary Turnaround | Start Date | End Date | Assigned To |
|---------------|--------------|---|---|---------------|-------------|--|
| 25 | 1 | Analyze data from walkthroughs and provide differentiated feedback and supports | 6 - Enabling the Effective Use of Data | 2/6/18 | 3/30/18 | Data coordinator and Instructional Specialists |
| 26 | 2 | PLC, Department, and Grade Level meetings and agendas, monitoring of teachers, and analysis of data action steps. | 6 - Enabling the Effective Use of Data | 2/6/18 | 3/30/18 | School Administration |
| 27 | 1 | Formal classroom observations will be conducted and analyze data using the McRel evaluation system. | 1 - School Leadership | 2/6/18 | 3/30/18 | Building Admin and C & I supervisor |
| 28 | 1 | Continue to identify students reading below grade level and make recommendation to I&RS and RTI program. Administer LinkIt Benchmark Form C; teachers will collect and analyze data through LinkIt and Data Binders to house all student data for the year. | 6 - Enabling the Effective Use of Data | 4/17/18 | 6/22/18 | I&RS Committee, Data Coordinator, C&I Supervisor, and Instructional Specialist |
| 29 | 3 | Analyze and reorganize RTI Tiers based on student progress, classroom level assessments, progress monitoring, and LinkIt Benchmark C. | 4 - Curriculum, Assessment and Intervention System | 4/17/18 | 6/22/18 | Data Coordinator, C&I Supervisor, and Instructional Specialists, RTI Specialists |





| Step Numbe | Strate gy | Action Steps | Primary Turnaround | Start Date | End Date | Assigned To |
|---------------|--------------|---|---|---------------|-------------|---|
| 30 | 1 | Analysis of ELA assessment using LinkIt and classroom level data to provide specific supports and interventions | 6 - Enabling the Effective Use of Data | 4/17/18 | 6/22/18 | Data Coordinator, C&I Supervisor, and Instructional Specialist |
| 31 | 1 | Data driven, differentiated supports will be provided to the students based on evidence based practices. | 3 - Effective Instruction | 4/17/18 | 6/22/18 | School Admin, C&I Supervisor, Data Coordinator, Instructional Specialists |
| 32 | 2 | Progress monitoring of students reading proficiency using Link-it progress monitoring and Teachers College running records | 4 - Curriculum, Assessment and Intervention System | 4/17/18 | 6/22/18 | Data coordinator and Instructional Specialists |
| 33 | 1 | Monitor classroom instruction for effective reading and writing strategies aligned to the CCSS. | 3 - Effective Instruction | 4/17/18 | 6/22/18 | School Administration |
| 34 | 1 | Analyze data from walkthroughs and provide differentiated feedback and supports | 6 - Enabling the Effective Use of Data | 4/17/18 | 6/22/18 | Data coordinator and Instructional Specialists |
| 35 | 1 | PLC, Department, and Grade Level meetings and agendas, monitoring of teachers, and analysis of data action steps. | 6 - Enabling the Effective Use of Data | 4/17/18 | 6/22/18 | School Administration |
| 36 | 1 | Formal classroom observations and Summative Evaluations will be conducted and analyze data using the McRel evaluation system. | 1 - School Leadership | 4/17/18 | 6/22/18 | Building Admin and C & I supervisor |





Budget Items

| Correspondin g Action Step | Resource / Description | Funding Category / Object Code | Funding Requested | Funding Source |
|----------------------------|------------------------------|---|----------------------|-----------------------------------|
| 8 | ELA Instructional Specialist | INSTRUCTION - Personnel Services - Salaries / 100-100 | \$69,725 | Federal Title I (Interventions |
| 10 | ELA Full Time RTI teacher | INSTRUCTION - Personnel Services - Salaries / 100-100 | \$76,705 | Federal Title I (Interventions |



SMART Goal 2

By June 30, 2018, 80% of students in Special Education subgroup and African American subgroup will close the 2015 2016 PARCC Math baseline proficiency deficit by 2% as evidenced by performance on Math benchmark assessments and the 2017 2018 PARCC Math assessments.

Performance Based on Math PARCC data, current benchmark data, and formative assessments, all students, special education students and African

American Students, experience difficulty with Number Operations, Fractions, Expressions, and equations.

Strategy 1: Ensure that the adopted and aligned curriculum is the taught curriculum

Turnaround Principle: 3 - Effective Instruction

Strategy 2: Use student performance data during common planning time meetings to inform teachers' selection of instructional and response

strategies.

Turnaround Principle: 7 - Effective Use of Time

Strategy 3: Monitor teachers and provide differentiated coaching and professional development activities to support teachers in the use of

a variety of instructional and response strategies and students are actively engaged in their learning.

Turnaround Principle: 5 - Effective Staffing Practices

Target Population: SPED

AA

Interim Goals

| End of | Interim Goal | Sources of Evidence |
|--------|--------------|---------------------|
| Cycle | | |





| End of Cycle | Interim Goal | Sources of Evidence |
|-----------------|---|---|
| EOC 1 | By the end of Cycle 1, 2016 2017 PARCC Math assessment, mathematics portfolio, formative assessment, and unit assessment data will be analyzed to identify current levels of proficiency among students in Special Education subgroup and African American subgroup to determine the calibrated baseline proficiency for each group | LinkIt Data Collection and Analysis from 2016-2017, Skills and Standards based data from LinkIt Benchmark Form A, Go Math Assessments, Module Assessments, Universal Screening, Progress Monitoring, Lesson Plans, PLC agendas, Math Department Meeting agendas, LinkIt Data Collection and Analysis, Walkthrough and Observation Data, RTI and I&RS Data |
| EOC 2 | By the end of Cycle 2, 35% of students in Special Education subgroup and African American subgroup will close the proficiency deficit in mathematics by a minimum of 2% as evidenced by Common Core aligned mathematics unit assessments, and measured by rubrics of mathematics portfolios. | Skills and Standards based data from LinkIt Benchmark Form B, Go Math Assessments, Module Assessments, Universal Screening, Progress Monitoring, Lesson Plans, PLC agendas, Math Department Meeting agendas, LinkIt Data Collection and Analysis, Walkthrough and Observation Data, RTI and I&RS Data |
| EOC 3 | By the end of Cycle 2, 60% of students in Special Education subgroup and African American subgroup will close the proficiency deficit in mathematics by a minimum of 2% as evidenced by Common Core aligned mathematics unit assessments, and measured by rubrics of mathematics portfolios. | Skills and Standards based data from LinkIt Benchmark Form C, Go Math Assessments, Module Assessments, Universal Screening, Progress Monitoring, Lesson Plans, PLC agendas, Math Department Meeting agendas, LinkIt Data Collection and Analysis, Walkthrough and Observation Data, RTI and I&RS Data |
| EOC 4 | By June 30, 2018, 80% of students in Special Education subgroup and African American subgroup will close the 2015 2016 PARCC Math baseline proficiency deficit by 2% as evidenced by performance on Math benchmark assessments and the 2017 2018 PARCC Math assessments. | Students in Special Education subgroup and African American subgroup will close the proficiency deficit in mathematics by a minimum of 2% as evidenced by Common Core aligned mathematics unit assessments, and measured by rubrics of mathematics portfolios and the 2017 2018 PARCC Math assessments. |





Action Steps

| Step Numbe | Strate gy | Action Steps | Primary Turnaround | Start Date | End Date | Assigned To |
|---------------|--------------|---|--|---------------|-------------|---|
| 1 | 2 | Data driven supports using Link-it data will be provided to students | 6 - Enabling the Effective Use of Data | 9/7/17 | 10/31/17 | Data coordinator and Instructional Specialist |
| 2 | 2 | Analysis of classroom level assessment and Link-it assessments to identify and recommend students for I & amp; RS and RTI and to provide specific supports and interventions. | 4 - Curriculum, Assessment and Intervention System | 9/7/17 | 10/31/17 | Data coordinator and Instructional Specialist, C & I Supervisor |
| 3 | 2 | Classroom observations and walkthroughs of systems will be implemented. Analyze data and provide differentiated feedback and supports | 1 - School Leadership | 9/7/17 | 10/31/17 | Building Admin and C & I supervisor |
| 4 | 1 | Baseline benchmarking and progress monitoring through modules of students to identify achievement gaps and students performing below grade level | 6 - Enabling the Effective Use of Data | 9/7/17 | 10/31/17 | Data coordinator and Instructional Specialist, C & I Supervisor |
| 5 | 2 | Monitor classroom instruction for effective math strategies alined to standards including intervention program. | 3 - Effective Instruction | 9/7/17 | 10/31/17 | Building Admin and C & I supervisor |





| Step Numbe | Strate gy | Action Steps | Primary Turnaround | Start Date | End Date | Assigned To |
|---------------|--------------|--|--|---------------|-------------|--|
| 6 | 3 | Implementation of a rigorous intervention program for students performing below grade level and parameters for entering and exiting the program using Link-it standard and skill based assessment. | 3 - Effective Instruction | 9/7/17 | 10/31/17 | Data coordinator and Instructional Specialist, C & I Supervisor |
| 7 | 2 | Use walkthrough data to provide feedback and coaching teachers by Educational Specialists. | 1 - School Leadership | 9/7/17 | 10/31/17 | Building Admin and Instructional Specialist, C & I Supervisor |
| 8 | 3 | Progress monitoring using GoMath and Link-it assessments to make informed instructional decisions | 6 - Enabling the Effective Use of Data | 9/7/17 | 10/31/17 | Data coordinator and Instructional Specialist, C & I Supervisor |
| 9 | 2 | Monitoring of PLC, grade level, and department meetings to ensure effective articulation | 7 - Effective Use of Time | 9/7/17 | 10/31/17 | Instructional Specialist, C & I Supervisor |
| 10 | 2 | Administer LinkIt Benchmark Form A; teachers will collect and analyze data through LinkIt and Data Binders to house all student data for the year. | 4 - Curriculum, Assessment and Intervention System | 11/7/17 | 1/31/18 | Data coordinator and Instructional Specialist, C & I Supervisor |



| Step Numbe | Strate gy | Action Steps | Primary Turnaround | Start Date | End Date | Assigned To |
|---------------|--------------|--|--|---------------|-------------|---|
| 11 | 2 | Data driven supports using Link-it data will be provided to students | 6 - Enabling the Effective Use of Data | 11/7/17 | 1/31/18 | Data coordinator and Instructional Specialist |
| 12 | 2 | Analysis of classroom level assessment and Link-it assessments (Form A) to identify and recommend students for I & Damp; RS and RTI and to provide specific supports and interventions. | 4 - Curriculum, Assessment and Intervention System | 11/7/17 | 1/31/18 | Data coordinator and Instructional Specialist, C & I Supervisor |
| 13 | 2 | Classroom observations and walkthroughs of systems will be implemented. Analyze data and provide differentiated feedback and supports | 1 - School Leadership | 11/7/17 | 1/31/18 | Building Admin and C & I supervisor |
| 14 | 1 | LinkIt benchmarking and progress monitoring through modules of students to identify achievement gaps and students performing below grade level | 6 - Enabling the Effective Use of Data | 11/7/17 | 1/31/18 | Data coordinator and Instructional Specialist, C & I Supervisor |
| 15 | 2 | Monitor classroom instruction for effective math strategies alined to standards including intervention program. | 3 - Effective Instruction | 11/7/17 | 1/31/18 | Building Admin and C & I supervisor |
| 16 | 3 | Implementation of a rigorous intervention program for students performing below grade level and parameters for entering and exiting the program using Link-it standard and skill based assessment. | 3 - Effective Instruction | 11/7/17 | 1/31/18 | Data coordinator and Instructional Specialist, C & I Supervisor |





| Step Numbe | Strate gy | Action Steps | Primary Turnaround | Start Date | End Date | Assigned To |
|---------------|--------------|--|---|---------------|-------------|--|
| 17 | 2 | Use walkthrough data to provide feedback and coaching teachers by Educational Specialists. | 1 - School Leadership | 11/7/17 | 1/31/18 | Building Admin and Instructional Specialist, C & I Supervisor |
| 18 | 3 | Progress monitoring using GoMath and Link-it assessments to make informed instructional decisions | 6 - Enabling the Effective Use of Data | 11/7/17 | 1/31/18 | Data coordinator and Instructional Specialist, C & I Supervisor |
| 19 | 2 | Monitoring of PLC, grade level, and department meetings to ensure effective articulation | 7 - Effective Use of Time | 11/7/17 | 1/31/18 | Instructional Specialist, C & I Supervisor |
| 20 | 2 | Administer LinkIt Benchmark Form B; teachers will collect and analyze data through LinkIt and Data Binders to house all student data for the year. | 4 - Curriculum, Assessment and Intervention System | 11/7/17 | 1/31/18 | Data coordinator and Instructional Specialist, C & I Supervisor |
| 21 | 2 | Data driven supports using Link-it data will be provided to students | 6 - Enabling the Effective Use of Data | 2/6/18 | 3/30/18 | Data coordinator and Instructional Specialist |



| Step Numbe | Strate gy | Action Steps | Primary Turnaround | Start Date | End Date | Assigned To |
|---------------|--------------|--|---|---------------|-------------|--|
| 22 | 2 | Analysis of classroom level assessment and Link-it assessments (Form B) to identify and recommend students for I & Damp; RS and RTI and to provide specific supports and interventions. | 4 - Curriculum, Assessment and Intervention System | 2/6/18 | 3/30/18 | Data coordinator and Instructional Specialist, C & I Supervisor |
| 23 | 2 | Classroom observations and walkthroughs of systems will be implemented. Analyze data and provide differentiated feedback and supports | 1 - School Leadership | 2/6/18 | 3/30/18 | Building Admin and C & I supervisor |
| 24 | 1 | LinkIt benchmarking and progress monitoring through modules of students to identify achievement gaps and students performing below grade level | 6 - Enabling the Effective Use of Data | 2/6/18 | 3/30/18 | Data coordinator and Instructional Specialist, C & I Supervisor |
| 25 | 2 | Monitor classroom instruction for effective math strategies alined to standards including intervention program. | 3 - Effective Instruction | 2/6/18 | 3/30/18 | Building Admin and C & I supervisor |
| 26 | 3 | Implementation of a rigorous intervention program for students performing below grade level and parameters for entering and exiting the program using Link-it standard and skill based assessment. | 3 - Effective Instruction | 2/6/18 | 3/30/18 | Data coordinator and Instructional Specialist, C & I Supervisor |
| 27 | 2 | Use walkthrough data to provide feedback and coaching teachers by Educational Specialists. | 1 - School Leadership | 2/6/18 | 3/30/18 | Building Admin and Instructional Specialist, C & I Supervisor |





| Step Numbe | Strate gy | Action Steps | Primary Turnaround | Start Date | End Date | Assigned To |
|---------------|--------------|---|---|---------------|-------------|---|
| 28 | 3 | Progress monitoring using GoMath and Link-it assessments to make informed instructional decisions | 6 - Enabling the Effective Use of Data | 2/6/18 | 3/30/18 | Data coordinator and Instructional Specialist, C & I Supervisor |
| 29 | 2 | Monitoring of PLC, grade level, and department meetings to ensure effective articulation | 7 - Effective Use of Time | 2/6/18 | 3/30/18 | Instructional Specialist, C & I Supervisor |
| 30 | 2 | Administer LinkIt Benchmark Form B; teachers will collect and analyze data through LinkIt and Data Binders to house all student data for the year. | 4 - Curriculum, Assessment and Intervention System | 2/6/18 | 3/30/18 | Data coordinator and Instructional Specialist |
| 31 | 2 | Data driven supports using Link-it data will be provided to students | 6 - Enabling the Effective Use of Data | 4/17/18 | 6/22/18 | Data coordinator and Instructional Specialist |
| 32 | 2 | Analysis of classroom level assessment and Link-it assessments (Form B) to identify and recommend students for I & Damp; RS and RTI and to provide specific supports and interventions. | 4 - Curriculum, Assessment and Intervention System | 4/17/18 | 6/22/18 | Data coordinator and Instructional Specialist, C & I Supervisor |
| 33 | 2 | Classroom observations and walkthroughs of systems will be implemented. Analyze data and provide differentiated feedback and supports | 1 - School Leadership | 4/17/18 | 6/22/18 | Building Admin and C & Description |





| Step Numbe | Strate gy | Action Steps | Primary Turnaround | Start Date | End Date | Assigned To |
|---------------|--------------|--|--|---------------|-------------|--|
| 34 | 1 | LinkIt benchmarking and progress monitoring through modules of students to identify achievement gaps and students performing below grade level | 6 - Enabling the Effective Use of Data | 4/17/18 | 6/22/18 | Data coordinator and Instructional Specialist, C & I Supervisor |
| 35 | 2 | Monitor classroom instruction for effective math strategies alined to standards including intervention program. | 3 - Effective Instruction | 4/17/18 | 6/22/18 | Building Admin and C & I supervisor |
| 36 | 3 | Implementation of a rigorous intervention program for students performing below grade level and parameters for entering and exiting the program using Link-it standard and skill based assessment. | 3 - Effective Instruction | 4/17/18 | 6/22/18 | Data coordinator and Instructional Specialist, C & I Supervisor |
| 37 | 2 | Use walkthrough data to provide feedback and coaching teachers by Educational Specialists. | 1 - School Leadership | 4/17/18 | 6/22/18 | Building Admin and Instructional Specialist, C & I Supervisor |
| 38 | 3 | Progress monitoring using GoMath and Link-it assessments to make informed instructional decisions | 6 - Enabling the Effective Use of Data | 4/17/18 | 6/22/18 | Data coordinator and Instructional Specialist, C & I Supervisor |
| 39 | 2 | Monitoring of PLC, grade level, and department meetings to ensure effective articulation | 7 - Effective Use of Time | 4/17/18 | 6/22/18 | Instructional Specialist, C & I Supervisor |





| Step Numbe | Strate gy | Action Steps | Primary Turnaround | Start Date | End Date | Assigned To |
|---------------|--------------|--|---|---------------|-------------|---|
| 40 | 2 | Administer LinkIt Benchmark Form C; teachers will collect and analyze data through LinkIt and Data Binders to house all student data for the year. | 4 - Curriculum, Assessment and Intervention System | 4/17/18 | 6/22/18 | Data coordinator and Instructional Specialist |

Budget Items

| Correspondin | Resource / Description | Funding Category / | Funding | Funding Source |
|---------------|-------------------------------|----------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| g Action Step | | Object Code | Requested | |
| 2 | Math RTI Teacher | INSTRUCTION - | \$69,325 | Federal Title I |
| | | Personnel Services - | | (Interventions |
| | | Salaries / 100-100 | | |
| 5 | Math Instructional Specialist | INSTRUCTION - | \$68,025 | Federal Title I |
| | | Personnel Services - | | (Interventions |
| | | Salaries / 100-100 | | |



SMART Goal 3

By June 30, 2018, less than 10% of students schoolwide (K to 12, as per school enrollment), will be identified as chronically absent by the school information attendance system and the School Improvement Plan Management System Cycle reporting.

Performance Based on SIPMS Cycle report data obtained from the School Information System records, as submitted to NJSMARTS, some students

struggle with consistent school attendance.

Strategy 1: Develop partnerships with families, community and staff in support of consistent student attendance

Turnaround Principle: 2 - School Climate and Culture

Strategy 2: Collect monthly data on attendance to develop a climate and culture administrative action plan to promote consistent student

attendance

Turnaround Principle: 8 - Family and Community Engagement

Strategy 3: Collect monthly data on attendance to provide supports to students and families to promote consistent student attendance

Turnaround Principle: 1 - School Leadership

Target Population: Students School Wide

Interim Goals

| End of | Interim Goal | Sources of Evidence |
|--------|--------------|---------------------|
| Cycle | | |



| End of Cycle | Interim Goal | Sources of Evidence |
|-----------------|---|---|
| EOC 1 | By the end of Cycle 1, no more than 30% of students schoolwide (K to 12, as per school enrollment), will be identified as chronically absent by the school¿s student information attendance system and the School Improvement Plan Management System Cycle reporting. | Student Handbook, District-Wide Attendance Policy, School-Wide Attendance Initiative, Sign In Sheets, Copies of Behavior Contracts, Attendance Data, Attendance Meetings, Tiered System of Supports, PLC agendas, Evidence of contacting parents with flyers, social media, and phone blasts, Parent Academy sign in sheets and surveys |
| EOC 2 | By the end of Cycle 2, no more than 20% students schoolwide (K to 12, as per school enrollment), will be identified as chronically absent by the school; s student information attendance system and the School Improvement Plan Management System Cycle reporting. | Student Handbook, District-Wide Attendance Policy, School-Wide Attendance Initiative, Sign In Sheets, Copies of Behavior Contracts, Attendance Data, Attendance Meetings, Tiered System of Supports, PLC agendas, Evidence of contacting parents with flyers, social media, and phone blasts, Parent Academy sign in sheets and surveys, Protocol for Chronic Absenteeism |
| EOC 3 | By the end of Cycle 3, no more than 15% of students schoolwide (K to 12, as per school enrollment), will be identified as chronically absent by the school student information attendance system and the School Improvement Plan Management System Cycle reporting. | Student Handbook, District-Wide Attendance Policy, School-Wide Attendance Initiative, Sign In Sheets, Copies of Behavior Contracts, Attendance Data, Attendance Meetings, Tiered System of Supports, PLC agendas, Evidence of contacting parents with flyers, social media, and phone blasts, Parent Academy sign in sheets and surveys, Protocol for Chronic Absenteeism |
| EOC 4 | By June 30, 2018, less than 10% of students schoolwide (K to 12, as per school enrollment), will be identified as chronically absent by the school; s student information attendance system and the School Improvement Plan Management System Cycle reporting. | Less than 10% of students school-wide will be identified as chronically absent as evidenced by School Improvement Plan cycle reporting and School Information System recorded data. |





Action Steps

| Step Numbe | Strate gy | Action Steps | Primary Turnaround | Start Date | End Date | Assigned To |
|---------------|--------------|---|--|---------------|-------------|--|
| 1 | 2 | Classroom observations (through walkthroughs and formal observations) of systems implemented. Analyze data from walkthroughs and observations and provide differentiated feedback and supports. | 1 - School Leadership | 9/7/17 | 10/31/17 | School Admin |
| 2 | 2 | Analysis of ELA and Math assessments, LinkIt Benchmarks, and classroom level data to provide specific supports and interventions through RTI, I&RS, and Instructional Specialists | 6 - Enabling the Effective Use of Data | 9/7/17 | 10/31/17 | School Admin, Data Coordinator, Instructional Specialists |
| 3 | 2 | Data driven, differentiated supports will be provided to the students including Restorative Practices | 6 - Enabling the Effective Use of Data | 9/7/17 | 10/31/17 | School Admin, Data Coordinator, Instructional Specialists |
| 4 | 3 | PBSIS Team and Data Coordinator will review the 2016-2017 chronic absenteeism data. Students possessing high absenteeism rates during the 2016-2017 school year will also be identified. PBSIS Team and Guidance Department will review all expectations with students and staff. | 6 - Enabling the Effective Use of Data | 9/7/17 | 10/31/17 | School Admin, Data Coordinator, Instructional Specialists, Guidance Department |
| 5 | 3 | The administrative team will conduct building walkthroughs on selected instructors/grade level areas. | 6 - Enabling the Effective Use of Data | 9/7/17 | 10/31/17 | Principal, Vice Principal, C&I Supervisor, School Admin |





| Step Numbe | Strate gy | Action Steps | Primary Turnaround | Start Date | End Date | Assigned To |
|---------------|--------------|--|--|---------------|-------------|--|
| 6 | 1 | Identified chronically absent students will be identified at weekly guidance/attendance meetings and monitored through a tiered system of supports. Parents and Guardians will be contacted and supports will be provided if warranted. (Ongoing all year) | 8 - Family and Community Engagement | 9/7/17 | 10/31/17 | Principal, Vice Principal, Guidance Counselors, Attendance Officer, Data Coordinator |
| 7 | 3 | PBSIS team will determine targeted behaviors ad focus on strategies to address those behaviors. | 2 - School Climate and Culture | 9/7/17 | 10/31/17 | PBSIS Team, Data Coordinator |
| 8 | 3 | Weekly review of chronic absentee student data. Data Coordinator and Guidance Counselors will provide follow up to staff regarding latests data and develop strategies accordingly based on findings. | 6 - Enabling the Effective Use of Data | 9/7/17 | 10/31/17 | Data Coordinator, Guidance Counselors |
| 9 | 3 | Students will be rewarded with positive behavior rewards in a public ceremony and through daily announcements held during school. | 2 - School Climate and Culture | 9/7/17 | 10/31/17 | PBSIS Team, Data Coordinator |
| 10 | 2 | PLC, Department, and Grade Level meetings and agendas, monitoring of teachers, and analysis of data action steps. | 7 - Effective Use of Time | 9/7/17 | 10/31/17 | Principal, Vice Principal, C&I Supervisor |
| 11 | 3 | Attendance PLC will continue the Attendance Initiative and monitor homerooms that have perfect attendance. Students who's homeroom that has perfect attendance will be rewarded with a prize in a public ceremony and morning daily announcements. | 2 - School Climate and Culture | 9/7/17 | 10/31/17 | Attendance PLC, Guidance Counselors, Data Coordinator |
| 13 | 1 | Employ the use of the Parent Academy to support and educate parents in positive, social, and academic behaviors. | 8 - Family and Community Engagement | 11/7/17 | 1/31/18 | School Administration , C&I Supervisor, Director of Curriculum and Instruction |



| Step Numbe | Strate gy | Action Steps | Primary Turnaround | Start Date | End Date | Assigned To |
|---------------|--------------|--|--|---------------|-------------|---|
| 14 | 2 | PLC, Department, and Grade Level meetings and agendas, monitoring of teachers, and analysis of data action steps. | 7 - Effective Use of Time | 11/7/17 | 1/31/18 | Principal, Vice Principal, C&I Supervisor |
| 15 | 2 | Classroom observations (through walkthroughs and formal observations) of systems implemented. Analyze data from walkthroughs and provide differentiated feedback and supports. | 6 - Enabling the Effective Use of Data | 11/7/17 | 1/31/18 | School Administration |
| 16 | 2 | Analysis of ELA and Math assessments, LinkIt Benchmarks, and classroom level data to provide specific supports and interventions through RTI, I&RS, and Instructional Specialists | 6 - Enabling the Effective Use of Data | 11/7/17 | 1/31/18 | School Admin, Data Coordinator, Instructional Specialists |
| 17 | 2 | Data driven, differentiated supports will be provided to the students including Restorative Practices | 6 - Enabling the Effective Use of Data | 11/7/17 | 1/31/18 | School Admin, Data Coordinator, Instructional Specialists |
| 18 | 3 | Students will be rewarded with positive behavior rewards in a public ceremony and through daily announcements held during school. | 2 - School Climate and Culture | 11/7/17 | 1/31/18 | PBSIS Team, Data Coordinator |
| 19 | 3 | Weekly review of chronic absentee student data. Data Coordinator and Guidance Counselors will provide follow up to staff regarding latests data and develop strategies accordingly based on findings. | 6 - Enabling the Effective Use of Data | 11/7/17 | 1/31/18 | Data Coordinator, Guidance Counselors |
| 20 | 3 | Attendance PLC will continue the Attendance Initiative and monitor homerooms that have perfect attendance. Students who's homeroom that has perfect attendance will be rewarded with a prize in a public ceremony and morning daily announcements. | 2 - School Climate and Culture | 11/7/17 | 1/31/18 | Attendance PLC, Guidance Counselors, Data Coordinator |





| Step Numbe | Strate gy | Action Steps | Primary Turnaround | Start Date | End Date | Assigned To |
|---------------|--------------|---|--|---------------|-------------|--|
| 21 | 1 | Employ the use of the Parent Academy to support and educate parents in positive, social, and academic behaviors. | 8 - Family and Community Engagement | 2/6/18 | 3/30/18 | School Administration , C&I Supervisor, Director of Curriculum and Instruction |
| 22 | 2 | PLC, Department, and Grade Level meetings and agendas, monitoring of teachers, and analysis of data action steps. | 7 - Effective Use of Time | 2/6/18 | 3/30/18 | Principal, Vice Principal, C&I Supervisor |
| 23 | 2 | Classroom observations (through walkthroughs and formal observations) of systems implemented. Analyze data from walkthroughs and provide differentiated feedback and supports. | 6 - Enabling the Effective Use of Data | 2/6/18 | 3/30/18 | School Administration |
| 24 | 2 | Analysis of ELA and Math assessments, LinkIt Benchmarks, and classroom level data to provide specific supports and interventions through RTI, I&RS, and Instructional Specialists | 6 - Enabling the Effective Use of Data | 2/6/18 | 3/30/18 | School Admin, Data Coordinator, Instructional Specialists |
| 25 | 2 | Data driven, differentiated supports will be provided to the students including Restorative Practices | 6 - Enabling the Effective Use of Data | 2/6/18 | 3/30/18 | School Admin, Data Coordinator, Instructional Specialists |
| 26 | 3 | Students will be rewarded with positive behavior rewards in a public ceremony and through daily announcements held during school. | 2 - School Climate and Culture | 2/6/18 | 3/30/18 | PBSIS Team, Data Coordinator |
| 27 | 3 | Weekly review of chronic absentee student data. Data Coordinator and Guidance Counselors will provide follow up to staff regarding latests data and develop strategies accordingly based on findings. | 6 - Enabling the Effective Use of Data | 2/6/18 | 3/30/18 | Data Coordinator, Guidance Counselors |





| Step Numbe | Strate gy | Action Steps | Primary Turnaround | Start Date | End Date | Assigned To |
|---------------|--------------|--|--|---------------|-------------|--|
| 28 | 3 | Attendance PLC will continue the Attendance Initiative and monitor homerooms that have perfect attendance. Students who's homeroom that has perfect attendance will be rewarded with a prize in a public ceremony and morning daily announcements. | 2 - School Climate and Culture | 2/6/18 | 3/30/18 | Attendance PLC, Guidance Counselors, Data Coordinator |
| 29 | 1 | Employ the use of the Parent Academy to support and educate parents in positive, social, and academic behaviors. | 8 - Family and Community Engagement | 4/17/18 | 6/22/18 | School Administration , C&I Supervisor, Director of Curriculum and Instruction |
| 30 | 2 | PLC, Department, and Grade Level meetings and agendas, monitoring of teachers, and analysis of data action steps. | 7 - Effective Use of Time | 4/17/18 | 6/22/18 | Principal, Vice Principal, C&I Supervisor |
| 31 | 2 | Classroom observations (through walkthroughs and formal observations) of systems implemented. Analyze data from walkthroughs and provide differentiated feedback and supports. | 6 - Enabling the Effective Use of Data | 4/17/18 | 6/22/18 | School Administration |
| 32 | 2 | Analysis of ELA and Math assessments, LinkIt Benchmarks, and classroom level data to provide specific supports and interventions through RTI, I&RS, and Instructional Specialists | 6 - Enabling the Effective Use of Data | 4/17/18 | 6/22/18 | School Admin, Data Coordinator, Instructional Specialists |
| 33 | 2 | Data driven, differentiated supports will be provided to the students including Restorative Practices | 6 - Enabling the Effective Use of Data | 4/17/18 | 6/22/18 | School Admin, Data Coordinator, Instructional Specialists |
| 34 | 3 | Students will be rewarded with positive behavior rewards in a public ceremony and through daily announcements held during school. | 2 - School Climate and Culture | 4/17/18 | 6/22/18 | PBSIS Team, Data Coordinator |





| Step Numbe | Strate gy | Action Steps | Primary Turnaround | Start Date | End Date | Assigned To |
|---------------|--------------|--|--|---------------|-------------|---|
| 35 | 3 | Weekly review of chronic absentee student data. Data Coordinator and Guidance Counselors will provide follow up to staff regarding latests data and develop strategies accordingly based on findings. | 6 - Enabling the Effective Use of Data | 4/17/18 | 6/22/18 | Data Coordinator, Guidance Counselors |
| 36 | 3 | Attendance PLC will continue the Attendance Initiative and monitor homerooms that have perfect attendance. Students who's homeroom that has perfect attendance will be rewarded with a prize in a public ceremony and morning daily announcements. | 2 - School Climate and Culture | 4/17/18 | 6/22/18 | Attendance PLC, Guidance Counselors, Data Coordinator |

Budget Items

| Correspondin g Action Step | Resource / Description | Funding Category / Object Code | Funding Requested | Funding Source |
|----------------------------|---|---|----------------------|-----------------------------------|
| 3 | Safer Saner Schools Program-Restorative Practices | INSTRUCTION - Purchased Professional & Technical Services / 100-300 | \$32,829 | Federal Title I (Interventions |
| 4 | Student prizes for PBSIS programs | INSTRUCTION - Supplies & Materials / 100-600 | \$2,000 | Federal Title I (Interventions |



SMART Goal 4

| Strategy 1: | | |
|-----------------------|---|--|
| Turnaround Principle: | 0 | |

Strategy 2:

Performance

Turnaround Principle: 0 - --

Strategy 3:

Turnaround Principle: 0 - --

Target Population:

Interim Goals

| End of Cycle | Interim Goal | Sources of Evidence |
|-----------------|--------------|---------------------|
| EOC 1 | | |
| EOC 2 | | |
| EOC 3 | | |





| End of Cycle | Interim Goal | | Sources of Evidence |
|-----------------|--------------|--|---------------------|
| EOC 4 | | | |
| | | | |
| | | < SMART Goal 4 - Action Steps: NO DATA > | |
| | | | |
| | | < SMART Goal 4 - Budget Items: NO DATA > | |



Other Title 1 Expenditures

| Resource / Description | Start Date | End Date | Assigned To | Funding Category / | Funding Requested | Funding Resource |
|------------------------|---------------|----------|----------------|--|----------------------|----------------------------|
| Blended funds | 7/3/17 | 6/29/18 | Business Admin | INSTRUCTION - Personnel Services - Salaries / 100- 100 | \$650,000.00 | Federal Title I (School |



Budget Summary

| Budget Category | Sub Category | Function & Object Code | State/Local Budget for School | Federal Title I (Priority/Foc us Intervention | Federal Title I (School Allocation) | Other Federal Funds Allocated to School | SIA (If Applicable) Aloocated to School | TOTAL |
|------------------|---|------------------------|-------------------------------------|---|--|---|--|--------|
| INSTRUCTION | Personnel Services - Salaries | 100-100 | 0 | 283780 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 283780 |
| INSTRUCTION | Purchased Professional & Technical Services | 100-300 | 0 | 32829 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 32829 |
| INSTRUCTION | Other Purchased Services | 100-500 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| INSTRUCTION | Supplies & Materials | 100-600 | 0 | 2000 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2000 |
| INSTRUCTION | Other Objects | 100-800 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| INSTRUCTION | Sub-total | | 0 | 318609 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 318609 |
| SUPPORT SERVICES | Personnel Services - Salaries | 200-100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| SUPPORT SERVICES | Personnel Services - Employee Benefits | 200-200 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| SUPPORT SERVICES | Purchased Professional & Technical Services | 200-300 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| SUPPORT SERVICES | Purchased Property Services | 200-400 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| SUPPORT SERVICES | Other Purchased Services | 200-500 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| SUPPORT SERVICES | Travel | 200-580 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |



| Budget Category | Sub Category | Function & Object Code | State/Local Budget for School | Federal Title I (Priority/Foc us Intervention | Federal Title I (School Allocation) | Other Federal Funds Allocated to School | SIA (If Applicable) Aloocated to School | TOTAL |
|------------------|----------------------------|---------------------------|-------------------------------------|---|--|---|--|--------|
| SUPPORT SERVICES | Supplies & Materials | 200-600 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| SUPPORT SERVICES | Other Objects | 200-800 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| SUPPORT SERVICES | Indirect Costs | 200-860 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| SUPPORT SERVICES | Sub-total | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| FACILITIES | Buildings | 400-720 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| FACILITIES | Instructional Equipment | 400-731 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| FACILITIES | Noninstructional Equipment | 400-732 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| FACILITIES | Sub-total | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total Cost | | | 0 | 318609 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 318609 |



Overview of Total Title 1 Expenditures

| | Federal Title 1 (Priority/Focus Interventions Reserve) | Federal Title 1 (School allocation) Total | TOTAL |
|------------------------------|--|---|--------|
| Included in SMART Goal Pages | 318609 | 0 | 318609 |
| Other Title 1 Expenditures | 0 | 650000 | 650000 |
| Total | 318609 | 650000 | 968609 |



Confirmation Page

| Х | The School Improvement Plan addresses all eight turnaround principles. | | | |
|---|--|--|--|--|
| Х | The results of the Quality School Review, completed in collaboration with the Regional Achievement Center staff, are included on the | | | |
| х | The School Improvement Plan includes no more than four (4) SMART goals, with a SMART goal developed in each of the following areas: | | | |
| Х | Literacy | | | |
| х | Math | | | |
| х | Climate and Culture | | | |
| | | | | |
| Х | All of the SMART goals and the interim goals are outcomes-based. | | | |
| Х | The Budget Summary includes all planned expenditures, as identified within the 'Resources' section of the SMART goal pages. | | | |
| Х | [For Focus schools] The School Improvement Plan includes interventions that target performance of the two lowest-performing | | | |

Completed By: Joe LaRocca

Date: 2017-06-21